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Introduction

The University’s intellectual community is defined by its faculty and other academic appointees. The Office of the Provost collaborates with deans, department chairs, and faculty search committees to identify and recruit bold thinkers, field-defining researchers, outstanding teachers, and individuals with other skills that are central to the eminence of the University.

All members of the Faculty at the University of Chicago must have a distinguished record in research, teaching, and training (including the supervision of graduate students), contributions to the intellectual community, and service when being considered for promotion, according to the Shils Report, produced in 1970 by the Committee on the Criteria of Academic Appointment appointed by University President Edward H. Levi.

Every appointment, reappointment, and promotion must be solidly grounded in actual accomplishment which, when coupled with evidence of future promise, gives rise to a strong likelihood that the candidate will bring continued and increasing distinction to the University over the entire course of the candidate’s academic career here. These principles guide University departments, divisions, and schools in evaluating faculty for promotion and/or tenure.

This guide contains the most current versions of a number of documents related to all aspects of the academic life cycle for faculty and other academic appointees at the university, from search and screening to review, reappointment, and promotion.

For more information or questions related to these policies and procedures, please reach out to the Office of the Provost’s main contact regarding academic searches, promotions and appointments, Assistant Provost Philip Venticinque, via phone at (773) 702-0024 or via email at pventicinque@uchicago.edu.
Section 1: Academic Searching and Screening Policy

The following applies to all benefits-eligible academic appointments (Statute 11):

The distinction of the University of Chicago rests on the research, teaching, and service of the academics who work here. The goal of every academic search is to seek out and find individuals who are qualified to make contributions to the University’s distinction. Screening is a systematic comparison of qualified applicants to one another and, in some cases, to others in the field, with a goal of identifying those applicants in the applicant pool who should be advanced and recommended for appointment. A successful search is one which attracts a pool of strong applicants: ideally, the decision about whom to recommend should be hard.

The University aims to build a scholarly community comprising a mix of individuals of all backgrounds, nations, and viewpoints, who make unique contributions to its intellectual culture. Search committees may, and are encouraged to, conduct searches where one of the goals is to increase the diversity of the applicant pool and ultimately the research and teaching in the University. This academic search policy guide is intended both to help units attract large and diverse applicant pools for every open academic position at the University, and to enable department chairs, deans, directors, and the provost to monitor the search and screening processes that preceded a request to the provost for authority to offer an academic appointment.

The Provost’s Office expects that the documentation of a search for a pool of applicants and of the screening of those applicants will show that the steps outlined below have been followed. The policies and expectations of the Provost’s Office are consistent with our compliance obligations and support search processes that will attract pools of applicants which are diverse across many dimensions.

As a federal contractor, the University is an affirmative action employer, which means it is obligated to take active steps to recruit and advance qualified women, racial and ethnic minorities, individuals with disabilities, and protected veterans. At the same time, the University is legally prohibited from considering applicants’ race, sex, national or ethnic origin, or other protected class status in making hiring decisions.

Recruiting outstanding academics to advance the missions of the University, including candidates from all backgrounds and nations, requires effort beyond advertising to develop an applicant pool for every open position. Decisions to offer appointments must be preceded, therefore, by actively searching for potential applicants in ways calculated to generate a large and diverse pool. When units act with fidelity to the policies and procedures here established by the University, then one of our central obligations as a federal contractor is met. As a federal contractor, the University is also obligated to monitor and evaluate our hiring and other employment processes for compliance with the law and University policies, including this policy, the Guidelines on Faculty Appointment, Reappointment, and Promotion Cases (section 2), the Shils Report, our Equal Employment Opportunity Policy, and the Policy on Discrimination, Harassment, and Sexual Misconduct.

To support academic search and recruitment processes, the University of Chicago uses Interfolio’s search module. Unless an exception has been granted, search administrators,
evaluators, and unit leaders must use the module, called Academic Recruitment, to post positions and manage search processes.

After hire, other aspects of career progression are managed and tracked through Interfolio’s related modules, collectively called the Academic Recruitment and Careers System (ARCS).

### 1.1 Think broadly about department/school/institute hiring priorities

Departments, institutes, and schools are expected to search in the broadest subfield areas possible to increase the diversity and competitiveness of their applicant pools, even when the immediate preference may be in one or more particular subfields. The more narrowly defined the field of interest, the more limited and homogeneous the pool of applicants is likely to be.

### 1.2 Seek potential applicants routinely and actively

It is completely appropriate to identify and keep in touch with individuals who, when a position opens, will be encouraged to apply and compete for it. Department representatives should also use every opportunity to communicate the teaching and research opportunities available at the University whether or not a search is underway.

Broad outreach efforts should be supplemented by personal communications to graduate students and postdocs who might consider an academic career and whose training has prepared them to be competitive for a position at Chicago.

When a position is opened, thoughtful, targeted, and continuing outreach – searching – beyond advertising is essential to our efforts to recruit the most talented academics to the University. As search committee members contact colleagues about open positions or plans for open positions, they should make specific inquiries about candidates from all backgrounds.

It is crucial to document these activities because the University is required by the federal government to show that those involved in hiring make good-faith efforts to develop broad and diverse applicant pools. Even more important, search committees are expected actively to seek out – search for – people who can contribute on a high level.

All involved in the search process must understand certain basic principles. Outreach may include extra and targeted efforts to search for women, racial and ethnic minorities, individuals with disabilities, and protected veterans. However, (1) outreach must not exclude any group, and (2) screening and winnowing down the pool must not prefer anyone based on protected class status.

### 1.3 Write position descriptions to include appropriate required and preferred qualifications

For the purposes of this document, “position description” comprises three elements:

1. the details of the job and unit,
2. the qualifications, and
3. the required application materials and how to apply.
Within Interfolio’s Academic Recruitment module, these can be divided into three separate sections to aid applicants in locating important information.

A well-crafted position description not only helps attract the broadest applicant pool, but also avoids process problems down the road that could needlessly delay the review of a case or, in exceptional circumstances, require redoing a search. Position descriptions for academic appointments should never be tailored to fit a candidate who has already been identified.

“Minimum qualifications” are those without which a person cannot do the job. Examples include: terminal degree in a field or subfield, years of experience in a similar position, licensure, record of publication in peer-reviewed journals, or a record of funding. A job seeker who applies for an open position through the Academic Recruitment module cannot be given the status of “Applicant” (or similar) unless he or she has submitted all required materials by the deadline and meets the minimum qualifications outlined in the position description.

For these and other reasons, qualifications must be drafted with care, combining what is required with “preferred qualifications” in a way that promotes the unit’s and the University’s goals. It is important to understand that search committees may not legally consider anyone who lacks the stated minimum qualifications, regardless of the jobseeker’s promise, because such a person, by definition, is not an applicant.

If a position description states that a “PhD is required” in order to apply, any job seeker who does not hold that specific degree at the time of application may not be considered or hired. Moreover, the search committee is required to review any applicant who meets the minimum qualifications. For example, requiring just a “doctoral degree” obligates the committee to consider individuals with a JD or MD.

Since requiring candidates to hold a PhD degree to apply will exclude all job seekers who do not have a PhD degree in hand, many units stipulate that “having the PhD in hand by the start date” is a condition for beginning the appointment. (Note that a new Assistant Professor must have either the diploma or an official letter attesting to the fact that all requirements for the degree have been completed).

Likewise, identifying specific subfields of interest as a required qualification means that all jobseekers who do not specialize in one of those subfields will not be allowed to proceed through the applicant system. By contrast, identifying one or more subfields as “preferred” might expand and diversify the applicant pool.

1.4 Create a Search Plan

Units are strongly encouraged to fill out a Search and Screening Plan before starting any academic search. A search plan not only helps ensure compliance and shortens the review times in the deans’ and provost’s offices, but also provides a mechanism for the search committee to plan each person’s role in reaching out nationally to attract a strong, diverse pool of applicants. Including documentation that search committees are required to submit to the provost as part of the search narrative at the end of the search, or at least establishing how the material will be gathered, also avoids process problems down the road that could needlessly delay the review of a case or, in exceptional circumstances, require redoing a search.
1.5 Determine what application materials will be required

The position description must state in detail what materials an individual must submit in order to apply, and in what format the materials should be submitted, if relevant. In every instance, the instructions must indicate that a CV is to be uploaded into the Academic Recruitment module during the application process.

Additional information on required materials for faculty and other academic appointees can be found in sections 2, 3, and 4 of this guide. If additional materials are requested at a later stage of a search, this process must be documented in the search narrative and care must be taken to solicit information from all the candidates at that stage of screening to ensure uniformity.

Research statements should describe the candidate’s research results and aims; where the research is collaborative and publications are co-authored, an explanation of the specific contributions of the candidate is important. Teaching statements should include a summary of teaching activities and an explication of teaching philosophy and goals. Job seekers should not be asked to submit a diversity statement; where applicable, a candidate’s experience in teaching diverse students can be addressed in their teaching statement and job postings may give guidance on doing so and should include a link to the University’s Diversity Statement (Diversity Statement) if so.

Be mindful of default expectations. A teaching statement and sample syllabi designed by the applicant are expected for all IP and SIP, whereas a research statement is not.

All other required materials must be uploaded or requested (in the case of confidential letters) through the module to be considered, except in unusual circumstances where a given material cannot be uploaded due to format or size. An application must be given the “Incomplete Application” status if materials are uploaded by an applicant but they are blank or otherwise do not correspond to the requirements. Materials submitted online are made available to all search committee members (and other designated individuals) electronically through the module. Only candidates whose application materials are complete (i.e. submitted all items required in the posting) can be considered an applicant and included in the screening and selection.

1.6 Encourage timely application

Although the position description does not need to include the date on which review or screening will begin, this date must be at least thirty (30) days after the first public advertisement has appeared, or for searches that do not require a public advertisement (see Publicize the position and conduct outreach), thirty (30) days after the posting has been activated on the UChicago Academic Recruitment job board.

This thirty-day rule does not preclude using automated tools in the module to determine that some job seekers do not possess a stated requirement, e.g., a PhD in political science, and therefore do not qualify as applicants at all. But it does preclude screening and comparing qualified applicants: it is the responsibility of the search administrator not to give the search committee access to the pool prematurely. Benefits eligible postings must not state that review or screening will begin “immediately.” Keep in mind that every applicant who meets the stated minimum qualifications must be fully considered.
Therefore, never make statements such as, “To receive full consideration, apply by XX-XX-20XX.”

1.7 Understand and adhere to deadlines

Deadlines for jobseekers. For jobseekers, a “deadline” is either 1) the deadline selected for the posting by the unit creating the position (which the Academic Recruitment module will explicitly show on the posting), or 2) a date by which the position will stop accepting applications (for rolling deadlines). If the posting states a deadline explicitly, it must not be removed from the job board before that date. Although postings are not required to state a deadline, search committees should be familiar with the policies that control the timing of phases of the process and should thoughtfully consider how best to communicate with jobseekers.

A. Search committees must plan at the outset whether to announce a deadline for jobseekers to complete their applications, or to allow the applicant pool to grow after the screening process begins.

B. It is permissible not to state a deadline, but units then incur an obligation to track and consider all applicants. Using such language as the “Screening of applications will continue until the position is filled or the search is closed” makes it possible for a unit to close the search (removing the posting from the Web) when a candidate is selected and a set of back-up candidates is identified.

Deadlines for units. For units, there is a deadline after which they may not bring forward candidates from an applicant pool. These deadlines are intended to assure that the pool of candidates is refreshed as candidates enter the market each year. Units should be mindful of these deadlines, and plan accordingly.

A. For faculty positions, recommendations to the provost must be received within two (2) years from the initial date of the posting.

B. For all other academic job groups, recommendations to the provost must be received within one (1) year from the initial date of the posting.

C. Units wishing to continue to search for or screen applicants for a position after this deadline must create a new posting and begin again to search for qualified applicants.

1.8 Establish clear screening criteria

The specific criteria used to screen applicants must be stated in the “search narrative,” described in more detail in section 1.14. An evidence-based screening process depends on forming an early consensus on the specific criteria that will govern the search committee’s screening. For instance:

- What are the qualities committee members will be looking for in an applicant’s research?

- How are those qualities identified and measured?

- What are the expectations with respect to publication?
● What evidence of teaching excellence will the committee be looking for?

● Are there particular markers of quality that will move an applicant to the short list?

Within the Academic Recruitment module, an unlimited number of criteria can be established for a single search in advance of the screening and a “blind review” process is enabled by default.

These features allow search committee members to consider the strength that each applicant brings to each criterion without regard to one overall ranking or other members’ reviews.

However, any disciplined, evidence-based approach to evaluation can help search committees avoid implicit biases by keeping in view the different strengths that applicants inevitably will have, which may be overlooked if applicants are initially evaluated by relying on impressions of their relative global merit.

1.9 Create a posting

All academic positions must be posted through the University’s Academic Recruitment module (or an alternative platform that a unit has been authorized to use) and only those jobseekers who complete an online application by the deadline may be considered applicants for a position. The University’s posting is the official announcement describing the position, qualifications, and procedures for applying.

All postings for new academic positions must be approved by the respective dean’s or director’s office before the position is published through the system and a search commences. It is the dean’s or director’s responsibility to review the prepared posting (either before it is entered into the Interfolio search module or after, as long as the final posting is the version approved) to ensure that it meets our compliance obligations. Guides for the academic search module are available on the Academic Recruiting System website.

Remember, if a deadline is part of the posting, the deadline for individuals to complete all the steps to apply must be at least thirty (30) days after posting on Interfolio or, for searches that do not require a public advertisement, thirty (30) days after the posting has been activated on the UChicago Academic Recruitment job board.

1.10 Publicize the position and conduct outreach

After the posting is approved and published through the Academic Recruitment module, the position must be advertised in appropriate venues outside the University to generate the broadest applicant pool and to meet our obligations as an affirmative action employer.

Appropriate advertising venues are, at minimum, those commonly recognized in the field of study and that are likely to reach potential candidates at the appropriate level of seniority for the position. The text of the position description in the external advertising must be identical with the text of the position description in Interfolio, including specific instructions for applying through the Academic Recruitment module (or alternative platform a unit has been authorized to use) and the EEO/AA statement built in to each posting on the job board.
Units seeking an exception to the requirement that external advertisements must be identical to the posting in Interfolio must contact the Office for Equal Opportunity and Access for approval. In the professional schools, where one advertisement may be used to announce open positions in different disciplines, the advertisement must include links to separate postings for each of these disciplines so that jobseekers can select their field of expertise when applying.

Although a posting is required for every academic opening, advertising is required only if the position is “regular” or, if the position is within a bargaining unit, its incumbent is able to progress to a “regular” position without a competitive process. To be “regular,” the job must be at 50 percent effort or more, and for a term of at least twelve (12) months. If the job is non-renewable, advertising is not required.

Openings may also be publicized on departmental/school/institute sites and the like, but they must direct people to apply and provide required materials through the Academic Recruitment module or alternative platform a unit has been authorized to use. Unit channels cannot substitute for the public posting and advertising process. When positions are publicized on departmental/school/institute websites, the web pages must carry the same non-discrimination statement required in advertisements.

In addition to external advertisements, announcements, and other efforts to publicize the position in appropriate professional association newsletters or journals, search committees should advertise or promote the position to organizations dedicated to advancing the interests of women, underrepresented minorities, individuals with disabilities, and protected veterans in academia generally or in specific fields, including websites of various professional organizations for women and underrepresented minorities.

1.11 Review advertisements for accuracy

All advertising must include the mandatory non-discrimination, Affirmative Action/Equal Employment Opportunity tagline, and request for accommodation statements currently in force. These must be identical to those automatically included in the job posting when created through the Academic Recruitment module.

“All University departments and institutes are charged with building a faculty from a diversity of backgrounds and with diverse viewpoints; with cultivating an inclusive community that values freedom of expression; and with welcoming and supporting all their members.

We seek a diverse pool of applicants who wish to join an academic community that places the highest value on rigorous inquiry and encourages diverse perspectives, experiences, groups of individuals, and ideas to inform and stimulate intellectual challenge, engagement, and exchange. The University’s Statements on Diversity are at [https://provost.uchicago.edu/statements-diversity](https://provost.uchicago.edu/statements-diversity).

The University of Chicago is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity/Disabled/Veterans Employer and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender, gender identity, national or ethnic origin, age, status as an individual with a disability, military or veteran status, genetic information, or other protected classes under the law. For additional information please see the University’s Notice of Nondiscrimination.
Job seekers in need of a reasonable accommodation to complete the application process should call 773-834-3988 or email equalopportunity@uchicago.edu with their request.”

Before posting, please be certain the publisher has included all this information.

Social media may be effective for advertising your position. If social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter or LinkedIn are used, the language must include both:

1. A link directly to the Interfolio posting
2. The required but truncated EEO tagline, “EOE/Vet/Disability.”

1.12 Evaluate applicants and monitor the screening process

The screening process must evaluate each applicant in an objective fashion, using the specific selection criteria developed in advance of the actual winnowing of the applicant pool by the search committee to evaluate the evidence that candidates were asked to submit. To ensure that the particular strengths of each applicant are accurately considered and that the strongest candidates are advanced and proposed for appointment, search committee members should refer consistently to the selection criteria.

Effective screening practices lead to a gradual winnowing of the applicant pool, typically to a short list, then to interviewees, and finally to one or more selected candidates. These decisions to advance an applicant or to remove an applicant from further consideration must be recorded through the Application Statuses available within the Academic Recruitment module. These include, but are not limited to: Longlist, Shortlist, Campus/Offsite In-Person/Remote Interview, Selected, Not Selected. Note that these do not replace the disposition codes that must be attached to each applicant when they are winnowed out of the pool or selected as the final candidate(s).

1.13 Interview best qualified candidates

Search committees are expected to interview a number of applicants as part of the screening process. Interviews may be conducted by telephone or video conferencing, via email, or face-to-face, but search committees should strive to apply interview practices consistently to all candidates at the same stages of the screening process unless an individual candidate’s personal circumstances require otherwise.

Care must be taken in all interviews to avoid even the appearance of improper bias for or against any candidate; a guide to interviewing practices is available in Appendix D.

1.14 Write a “search narrative”

“A Report of the University of Chicago Committee on the Criteria of Academic Appointment”, known also as the Shils Report, says, “Appointive committees should not consider only one candidate at any one time for a given appointment. It should be a firm rule, followed as frequently as possible when there is an appointment to be made, that several alternative candidates be considered.” Therefore, one of the indicators of a successful search is that it has found several applicants who are qualified so that after screening applicants to winnow the pool, the committee is left with hard decisions. The “search narrative” is supposed to tell the story not only of the outreach that attracted a robust pool of promising or accomplished applicants, but also how the
committee applied its criteria to winnow the pool to a few finalists, and the thinking behind the decision to pursue one (or more) but not others.

A “search narrative” is required with every recommendation and should give an account of the outreach, pool acquisition, screening, and selection phases of the process.

- It should explain why the selected applicant was thought to be, with respect to the criteria, the best candidate to bring forward, as compared to the other candidates in the final group, whether that be a short-listed group, or a group that made campus visits.

- If there is no short list, that is, a preliminary winnowing down by application of stated criteria, then the selected candidate must be compared to every qualified applicant in the pool, by name.

- The narrative is expected to lay out the considerations that were salient for the faculty as they compared all the candidates, who should be named, in the final group to one another.

- It must be submitted on the form provided by the Provost’s Office.

There are no limitations on the length. The goal of the narrative is to enable an independent observer to understand the disciplined process by which the department decided to recommend one or more candidates and decided not to recommend all the others.

Everyone involved in the search process must understand that although extra efforts to attract, for example, underrepresented minority candidates to the pool of applicants are entirely appropriate and encouraged, once the winnowing or screening process begins, sex, race, ethnicity, political or religious beliefs, membership in a protected class or any other attributes that are legally protected or otherwise irrelevant to participation in the work of the University may not be considered. Everyone should understand that University policy precludes consideration of anything except a candidate’s accomplishments or their promise of future accomplishments in domains included in the position, e.g., research, teaching, service, or clinical care.

A word version of our Searching and Screening Narrative can be found here. Please be sure to include an initialed copy in the case going to the Provost’s Office.

1.15 Maintain records during and after the recruitment and hiring process

Records of all searches (whether the position is filled or not) must be retained by the unit for three (3) years from the date of the last action taken. Such records include copies of all advertisements, all materials sent to and received from individuals who qualify as applicants as well as from all jobseekers who request to be considered for the position but were found not to meet minimum qualifications, any reference letters received, and all documentation of the deliberations of the search committee and the unit, including interview notes and any evaluation records. These materials can be uploaded to a position in the Academic Recruitment module through the administrative features.

At three (3) years, all documents related to the search, in all media, should be securely deleted or destroyed unless subject to a legal hold notice.
Section 2: Guidelines on Faculty Appointment, Reappointment, and Promotion Cases

The University’s continued excellence depends on the quality of our faculty and that, in turn, depends on the thoroughness of searches and the rigor of processes of evaluation undertaken by departments and schools. We in the Provost’s Office are continually considering the criteria and processes for faculty appointments and promotions in light of the many and various cases we review each year. These guidelines apply to appointments to the University Faculties, that is, individuals who are or will be appointed under University Statute 11.1, which includes tenure-track faculty members.

Although we have no wish to establish an inflexible checklist of required items for every case across the various traditions of the units of the University, thorough documentation is essential. The better a case is made, the better it will do its job and the more expeditiously we can deal with it. When cases are initiated in departments and/or sections, we encourage you to share these guidelines with department chairs and section chiefs.

When a case arrives in the Provost’s Office, we read it in light of the general principles articulated below, drawn from the Shils Report. We read each case with care, and we evaluate the case’s argument and evidence in support of the action it recommends. This degree of vetting takes time. Normally, a review can be completed within about two weeks. If faster action is necessary, please let Assistant Provost Philip Venticinque know well in advance; please also flag the case as requiring immediate review.

An appointment case constitutes a request to the provost for authority to make (or renew) an offer of employment. Offers of employment can only be made after review and approval by the provost. No offers should be tendered to prospective faculty before the provost’s approval is received. Candidates who will be proposed for appointment should be informed that an offer can only be tendered after it has first been approved by the provost, and they should not be given to believe that this is a mere formality.

The Provost’s Office does not ordinarily begin the review of the case until all required materials have been received. For appointments in the Divisions, those materials typically include the review and recommendation of the Dean of the College and, where applicable, the corresponding Collegiate Master. During some times of year, especially between November 1 and December 15, over Winter break, and during the Summer Quarter, caseloads and vacations may cause the review time to extend beyond two weeks.
General principles

Every appointment, reappointment, and promotion must be solidly grounded in actual accomplishment which, when coupled with evidence of future promise, gives rise to a strong likelihood that the candidate will bring continued and increasing distinction to the University over the entire course of the candidate’s academic career here.

That means that we hire as assistant professors only those for whom achieving tenure is judged to be eminently achievable. We re-appoint assistant professors to a second term only when there is a clearly discernible path linking what they have already accomplished to a body of work that by the end of the term will be recognized as foundational and significant scholarship holding promise for sustained contributions of increasing distinction over at least the next ten years.

We promote to associate professor with indefinite tenure only for such a body of work, coupled with evidence that scholarly contributions will continue, that there is an identifiable path leading to promotion to full professor, and that promotion within a reasonable period of time is likely.

We appoint as professor only those whose work has been influential and who are widely recognized as leading figures in their fields. This entails a record of continued scholarly contributions since promotion to associate professor, as well as evidence that the candidate will continue to lead their field for the foreseeable future.

---

1 The Shils Report does note that “In some departments it should be possible as a matter of general practice to offer junior appointments with the explicit understanding that the appointment is strictly a terminal appointment and that most or all of those so appointed will leave the University at the end of that term.” Even though such appointments are not expected to eventuate in tenure at the University of Chicago, the appointment cases will be held to the same standard of scholarship and must incorporate a mentoring plan with the candidate’s ultimate scholarly trajectory in view.
2.0 Components of a case

This section describes our expectations concerning the nature of materials that are generally relevant to all cases for appointment. The sections following expand on some of these, as they apply to particular appointment types.

2.0.1 Items to be obtained from the candidate

The following items should be obtained from the candidate. Any item not enumerated here should not be solicited. Any item which is submitted by an applicant but which does not fall into one of the categories below should be removed from the case file before any review by faculty occurs; in such cases, applicants should be informed that the material was not solicited and cannot be considered.

If some materials are solicited only at a later stage of the selection process or from only a subset of candidates after an initial screening (such as letters of recommendation, or the selection of writings) this fact should be indicated in the file, and as feasible, in the job advertisement.


   a. The CV should include the elements that are typical for the candidate’s field, but should at least include a list of all degrees earned, the program(s) or field(s) of study of the degree, the institutions at which they were earned, and the dates they were awarded. Relevant employment experience and positions held should be listed exhaustively as one of the first two sections of the CV.

   b. Candidates for reappointment or promotion should be urged to include a version of their CV that documents service to the University’s intellectual community.

2. A research statement

   a. The research statement should explain the main elements of the candidate’s research, and situate the research in the context of the important questions in the field.

   b. Where the research is collaborative and the publications co-authored, an explanation of the respective roles of the various participants and of the specific contributions of the candidate is important.

3. A teaching statement

   a. The teaching statement should include information about the candidate’s teaching and mentoring activities and capacities, and should also, where possible, include a description of the candidate’s experience in teaching and mentoring students, teaching or research assistants, or postdoctoral fellows, and in fostering their learning and ability to do research. The teaching statement may also include relevant experience in teaching and mentoring students from a diversity of backgrounds, as applicable.
b. Materials relevant to the assessment of teaching effectiveness may also be solicited (such as sample syllabi, course materials, etc.)

4. A selection of writings (or other appropriate scholarly or creative work).

   a. In addition to published work, this might include material in press, under review, or in preparation, if particularly relevant to the analysis of the case.

5. A cover letter of application, as applicable.

   a. A cover letter should at most ask candidates to address their suitability for the position as advertised, and to list references as appropriate.

   b. It should not ask for repetition of content covered in the research or teaching statement, nor for other recitations or expressions of commitment or activities that fall outside the Shils Report criteria for appointments.

6. Optionally, transcripts or copies of diplomas or other evidence of degrees earned.

### 2.0.2 Letters from external referees

External letters of assessment are expected for new appointments without tenure, promotions to the rank of associate professor or professor, and lateral appointments. The provost does not require letters from external referees to support promotion of an instructor to the rank of assistant professor, or for the reappointment of an assistant professor to a second term; if you do choose to solicit them, we advise that you keep their number to a minimum, since the pool of qualified referees is limited.

Letters in a tenure case that quote extensively from the referee’s earlier letter in a reappointment case can seem to present a judgment formed at an earlier stage in the candidate’s career. Letters should present professional and neutral assessments of the candidate’s work. There is no set number of letters that must be supplied; the appropriate number may vary by field and rank.

**When there is a letter case submitted, we require:**

1. A list of all external scholars invited to submit evaluations of the candidate.

   a. This list should note who selected the external referees, why the particular referees were chosen and, if a referee declines, the reasons given for such refusal.

   b. In general, we recommend that mentors or others involved in the training of the candidate not be solicited for recommendations beyond that of initial appointment at a junior rank. If such letters are included, the list should identify the candidate’s dissertation mentor and/or postdoctoral supervisor and explain why they were solicited. Mere knowledge of the person’s trajectory is not enough to merit solicitation from a dissertation advisor: the bar should be that that person is the only person in the world who can actually evaluate the work, typically because the field is so highly specialized (e.g., Hittite philology) that no-one else can knowledgeably comment on the quality of the work.

   c. Co-authors may be solicited, particularly when they can help to clarify the particular contributions of a candidate to collaborative research.
d. If the candidate requested named referees or requested that named referees not be solicited, include a copy of the correspondence or contemporary notes of the discussion, along with a description of the unit’s policies for dealing with such requests.

2. A sample copy of the letter sent to external referees soliciting an evaluation of the candidate.
   a. The letter of solicitation should be clear about the proposed rank.
   b. There is no required language for inclusion in letters requesting references, but we do expect that specific questions be asked of referees. Some examples:
      i. What is the candidate’s standing in the field(s) in which they work?
      ii. What particular contributions has the candidate made to the field(s) in which they work?
      iii. What are the most important publications in the candidate’s bibliography, and which ones constitute essential reading for others who work in the field?
      iv. Who, in the opinion of the referee, are the scholars doing the most important work in the field, and how, specifically, does the candidate compare with them?
      v. In the cases of recent PhDs, where letters are likely to come from dissertation supervisors or postdoctoral mentors, what is the candidate’s potential, and how does the candidate compare with other students/postdocs the referee has advised?
   c. The letter of solicitation should be neutral concerning the outcome of the requested assessment; letters should not indicate any judgment toward the candidate other than the fact that they are being considered for an appointment.

3. An indication of what materials were provided to referees.

2.0.3 Letters of support from internal referees

Letters from colleagues at the University may be solicited but are not required. Such letters are valuable when they are analytical and provide evidence for the ways in which the candidate has made notable contributions to the work of others. For new appointments, we would like to know about the prospects for such contributions.

2.0.4 Documentation of faculty deliberations

Reports.

Every Faculty case should include a report of the internal ad-hoc or search committee on the candidate, if there is such a committee.
Chair’s and Dean’s letters.

Every Faculty case should include a memorandum from the department Chair or from the Dean of the School (reporting, among other things, on the faculty discussion and vote on the case). The memo should include a research précis written for the non-specialist that explains the major research contributions and their importance to the field. If the case is from a Division, letters are also required from the Dean of the Division and the Dean of the College; memos may be included from the Master of the relevant Collegiate Division as well (if the Master has prepared such a memo, it must be included).

These memos need not repeat information found elsewhere in the file. In particular, we ask that they not quote extensively from the letters of referees, all of which we will read. It is the Chair’s or Dean’s (or Master’s, where relevant) own independent and candid judgment of the strengths and weaknesses of the case that is valuable to the Provost’s Office. These memos should be written while keeping in mind the criteria in the Shils Report. Appointments without tenure are offered to candidates who show promise for achieving tenure; tenure is awarded to candidates whose accomplishments demonstrate that the likelihood is high that even greater accomplishments will follow and warrant promotion to full professor in the foreseeable future. Every member of the Faculty of the University should have achieved or be on a path to achieve distinction in a field. A well-made case is one that presents evidence and arguments that the candidate is on that path. However, the nature and quantity of evidence available will change over time, as a career develops, and so these letters must be adapted to the career-stage of the candidate.

“Fit” and contributions to the University.

The letters also should speak to the candidate’s “fit,” broadly understood—not simply in terms of filling gaps in the unit, but in terms of the potential value added to the intellectual life of the University as whole. Questions to be addressed (with specific examples) regarding candidates include:

For current faculty—

1. How have they enhanced the intellectual life of the University? (This topic has in the past been comprised within “service.”)
2. In what ways does their presence “multiply” the contributions of others?
3. Do they foster (or facilitate) otherwise unlikely collaborations?
4. Have they initiated new things?

---

2 We require that the vote be reported numerically as for, opposed, abstaining, and total number of members of the unit’s faculty who are eligible to vote. That includes members who are on leave, and those not present. The method of the vote (secret ballot at the meeting or post-meeting, email, show of hands, etc.) should also be reported. Typically, the best votes are those that involve a secret ballot. If a secret ballot (or equivalent) was not used, the memo should explain why not.

Voting conventions differ across the University, but unless otherwise agreed to by the Provost’s Office, all votes for promotion should be by Faculty at or above the proposed rank. For outside hires, all Faculty in a given department or unit should be able vote on whether to recommend that the candidate join the unit without a vote on the proposed rank, but a second vote should be taken involving a proposed rank, where only Faculty at or above the proposed rank vote on the second question.
5. Why is the broader University enhanced by their presence?

For new hires—

1. What are the prospects for the above?

2. Is there evidence to suggest it is likely, e.g., already seeing this at on-campus interviews?
2.1 Cases for all new appointments

All proposals for new Faculty appointments, at any rank, must contain, in addition to the specific materials listed below and in addition to the materials secured from the candidate as outlined above, (a) a draft proposed offer letter to the candidate and (b) information about the full set of resources that the proposed appointment will entail, if not already included in the offer letter. This includes all resources, including (but not limited to) salary and benefits, housing commitments, laboratory or other space commitments, administrative or other allowances, teaching assignments or reductions, guaranteed paid leaves, guaranteed summer salary, build-out, commitments regarding staff or student or postdoctoral researcher support, research funds, travel funds, and start-up expenses.

2.1.1 Documentation of the search

For all new appointments, we require documentation of the search process. This documentation should describe how the candidate being proposed was selected, including comparative assessments of applicants. Refer to section 1: “Academic Searching and Screening Policy.”

2.2 Cases for new appointments without tenure
The provisions in this section apply to new appointments at the rank of instructor (including Provost’s Postdoctoral Scholars) or assistant professor. A practice recommended by the Shils Committee that the provost endorses is to request that external assessors indicate whether they would support the appointment of the candidate at their own institutions to the same rank for which the candidate is being considered at the University of Chicago.

2.2.1 Letters from the Chair or Dean

We would like to know how you evaluate the prospects for the candidate in view of the candidate’s experience to date, and what reasonably foreseeable issues could arise that could diminish expected progress toward tenure during the appointment term. How does the department/School propose to assist the candidate to recognize these issues early in the term and deal with them effectively? Are there issues specific to the candidate that should be taken into account in effecting the department’s mentoring program?

These questions and their answers are particularly important for initial appointments as second-term assistant professor, as the remaining tenure clock is compressed, aspects of the candidate’s trajectory may have been fashioned for a tenure system with different expectations from ours and making the transition from one institution to another introduces additional distraction.

For Provost’s Postdoctoral Scholars, the Chair’s and Dean’s letters should identify the markers of progress that can reasonably be expected by the time for promotion to assistant professor.

Consideration of candidates who have trained at the University

When the candidate being proposed has completed either doctoral or postdoctoral training at the University of Chicago, the Chair’s and Dean’s letters must explicitly address the issues raised in the Shils Report concerning scholars who did their training at the University, whether in the department or program that is proposing the appointment or not. An account of the steps taken to compare critically the credentials of external candidates of high quality to those of the selected candidate is essential. In addition, the Chair’s and Dean’s letters should discuss any evidence that the selected candidate exhibits scholarly or scientific independence from University of Chicago mentors, and the basis for the judgment—not just the judgment itself—that “the internal candidate is very clearly superior in estimated potentiality . . . to any of the external candidates” and that the candidate “is deemed likely to become an outstanding figure” in the candidate’s subject area.

2.2.2 Letters from outside referees

At the earliest stages of a career, although outside letters are of limited value, we expect them in all cases. These letters will typically be from advisors or mentors at the candidate’s graduate or postdoctoral institution. Three letters will usually suffice.
2.2.3 Evidence of teaching effectiveness

Evidence of effective teaching cannot reasonably be expected in all cases for early-career appointments. Chairs/Deans should request evaluations from the candidate’s institution, if at all possible. When there is evidence, it should be included and analyzed.

If students have participated in the process of considering a new appointment, departments may wish to invite them to contribute letters stating their impressions.

When the candidate will be coming to us from an academic system outside of North America, the memo to the provost and Draft offer letter should set out what the department or school will do before a new assistant professor begins to teach, so that he or she can get off to a good start.

2.2.4 Draft offer letter

For instructors and assistant professors, the draft offer letter must set forth the career development plan in the unit. Career development resources must be committed as part of every offer to an assistant professor.
2.3 Cases for promotion from instructor to assistant professor

The provisions in this section apply to promotion from the rank of instructor (including Provost’s Postdoctoral Scholars) to assistant professor.

2.3.1 Letters from the Chair or Dean

How has the candidate moved beyond the original dissertation research? Would the candidate’s scholarship to date place him or her at the top rank of candidates were a new appointment at assistant professor being considered? What is in the research pipeline? Is there evidence that the candidate’s initial promise is being realized?

Each unit must describe the activities undertaken by its senior faculty to carry out the unit’s commitment to advise the candidate about career development. If issues have arisen concerning mentoring or scholarly development, the case should describe the mid-course corrections that will be undertaken during the first term as assistant professor.

2.3.2 Letters from referees

Letters from outside referees are not required, and are unlikely to be helpful, in view of the short time from appointment to consideration for promotion. Letters from colleagues at the University who can speak to specific aspects of the candidate’s scholarship, teaching, or contributions to the intellectual environment at the University, may be helpful (but are not required).

2.3.3 Evidence of teaching effectiveness

Although a candidate’s teaching may have been limited during the term as instructor (especially for Provost’s Postdoctoral Scholars), we wish to understand both the extent and quality of the candidate’s teaching. The case should include a list of the courses that the candidate has taught since coming to the University. If teaching evaluations are available (such as formal student feedback, faculty observation, student letters), they should be submitted.

2.3.4 Draft Letter to the candidate

The letter should give the candidate realistic and unambiguous feedback about where the candidate is on the path to tenure, including what has been accomplished and what a successful case will have to look like.

We require that this letter describe the past and future activities undertaken by the unit’s senior faculty to carry out the unit’s commitment to advise the candidate about career development. No unit is required to adopt a particular plan, but every unit is expected to have a plan that suits its needs and culture.

---

3 We have provided information describing a number of mentoring best practices; no unit is required to adopt a particular plan, but every unit is expected to have a plan that suits its needs and culture.
2.4 Cases for reappointment as assistant professor
The provisions in this section apply to appointment of a current assistant professor to a second term.

2.4.1 Letters from the Chair or Dean
How has the candidate moved beyond the original dissertation research? What significant new scholarly contributions has the candidate made since the last appointment review?

What is in the pipeline? Critically, since promotion to associate professor will depend heavily on the extent to which the candidate’s scholarship is changing their field in the judgment of experts, will enough work have been published for experts to gauge the candidate’s impact on the field?

Each unit also should describe the activities undertaken by its senior faculty to carry out the unit’s commitment to advise the candidate about career development.

1. When a reappointment case is not strong, we will continue to ask for an intervention plan.

2. This plan should include reference to modifying or intensifying the existing career development resources when it is reasonable to believe that there may be a path to tenure for the candidate.

2.4.2 Letters from referees
Letters from external referees are optional for reappointment of assistant professors to a second term. If the appointive unit judges that external letters would be helpful to them in making their assessment, they are encouraged to solicit them. If letters are obtained, they should be included in the case sent to the dean and provost, and the departmental deliberations should address their content. Letters from colleagues at the University, whether or not in the same unit as the candidate, may also be submitted when they can help to clarify aspects of the case.

Cases for reappointment without external letters are not viewed as deficient. Units might also be mindful of the necessity at the next stage of the possibility of asking the same reviewers for letters.

2.4.3 Evidence of teaching effectiveness
We wish to understand both the extent and the quality of the candidate’s teaching, which is understood broadly to include classroom teaching (course construction, course management, development of materials, facilitation of learning) and mentoring of students and, where applicable, of postdoctoral researchers or others. Useful information includes:

1. A complete list of the courses taught in the previous three years with syllabi. For clinical faculty the list would instead focus on major clinical training responsibilities.

2. Internal letters from graduate (or sometimes undergraduate) students, discussing the candidate as a teacher/mentor. If students have participated in the process of
assessing a new appointment, departments may wish to invite them to contribute letters stating their impressions.

3. Copies, or complete summaries, of all the candidate’s undergraduate and graduate course feedback forms in the last three years, accompanied by an explanation for those courses for which we have no such evaluations. We recognize that types of evidence about teaching varies across the University.

2.4.4 Draft letter to the candidate

The case file should include a draft letter to the candidate that gives the candidate realistic and unambiguous feedback about where the candidate is on the path to tenure, including what has been accomplished and what a successful case will have to look like.

We require that this letter describe the past and future activities undertaken by the unit’s senior faculty to carry out the unit’s commitment to advise the candidate about career development. When a reappointment case is not strong, we will continue to ask for an intervention plan. This plan should include reference to modifying or intensifying the existing career development resources and explain why it is reasonable to believe that there may be a path to tenure for the candidate, and the draft letter to the candidate should contain a description of these additional steps.
2.5 Cases for appointment as or promotion to associate professor with tenure

The provisions in this section pertain to promotion to the rank of associate professor with tenure, as well as new appointments at that rank.

2.5.1 Letters from the Chair or Dean

*What is the trajectory of the candidate’s research program, what is the likelihood of promotion to professor within 5-10 years, and on what will the promotion likely be based?*

In accord with the criteria of the Shils Report, the letter should describe and evaluate the candidate’s research, teaching, and contributions to the intellectual community both within the University and at large. We recognize that a faculty committee may have written an extensive report to the tenured members of the department or school; however, the dean’s analysis is critical. In particular, if the department does not provide it, the provost must rely on the dean to provide an analysis that does not presuppose a specialist’s knowledge of the field and an explanation of why the senior faculty deem the candidate’s research to be fundamental and significant.

2.5.2 Letters from external referees

A practice recommended by the Shils Committee that the provost endorses is to request that external assessors indicate whether they would support the appointment of the candidate at their own institutions to the same rank for which the candidate is being considered at the University of Chicago. The provost recognizes that tenure criteria and practices differ across institutions, and we take those differences into account in interpreting referees’ letters. Should the context for a referee’s assessment not be apparent, the Chair or Dean is welcome to clarify the context in the section of their letters analyzing the letter case.

The purpose of external letters is to gauge the assessment of the field concerning the “originality, rigor, and fundamental significance” of the candidate’s work and the extent to which the top scholars in the candidate’s discipline judge that the candidate “is or will become a leading figure” in the field. Although letter writers may support the proposed appointment, the referees do not have a vote and we are not interested in their endorsement of the candidate; we are concerned exclusively with their critical assessment of the scholarship. The Chair’s and Dean’s letters should seriously engage any issues raised by the external reviewers in their analysis of the letter case.

2.5.3 Evidence of teaching effectiveness

We wish to understand both the extent and the quality of the candidate’s teaching. In addition to the items listed in section 2.4.1 above, we wish to have a list of all undergraduate, graduate, and postdoctoral advisees or trainees. Please ensure that the candidate has provided this information on the CV submitted with the dossier.
2.6 Cases proposing promotion to associate professor for a term

The provisions of this section apply to those rare cases in which an assistant professor is being proposed for promotion to associate professor for a specified term, i.e., without indefinite tenure, in units of the University where such appointments are not the norm.\(^4\)

The Statutes of the University recognize that such promotions are exceptions to our usual practice. Consequently, the case made for such a proposal must clearly define the circumstances that make the case exceptional—the circumstances particular to the case at hand that are unlikely to recur and that warrant the exceptional action.

In addition to the considerations described in section 2.5, above, the Chair’s and Dean’s letters should delineate the reasons why tenure is not considered appropriate at this time, the specific elements of the tenure case that are absent, a description of any special circumstances that account for their absence, and the evidence that supports the unit’s judgment that the missing elements will be present before the end of the term and that they will be of sufficient quality and importance to fully justify an award of tenure at the end of the term.

The proposed term as untenured associate professor should be sufficient to ensure that the elements needed to support the award of tenure will be present, for the unit to adjudicate the resulting dossier, and if warranted, to submit a recommendation for tenure to the Provost. The candidate will be considered an up-or-out case at the end of the term. A proposal for tenure must be submitted no later than the ordinary December 15 schedule (or equivalent) in the associate professor term, although units may wish to initiate the action earlier, either because the tenure case is fully developed or to permit more advantageous entry into the job market should a negative decision be reached.

The draft letter to the candidate is particularly important in such cases, making clear what the unit expects to see from the candidate in the new appointment term and how the unit will help to ensure that those expectations are met, while making it equally clear that meeting those expectations alone will not necessarily result in a favorable tenure decision. Instead, that decision will be based on the faculty’s judgment, aided by external assessments, of the originality, rigor, and fundamental importance of the complete body of the candidate’s work, including those aspects added during the untenured associate professor term.

\(^4\) Some units of the University such as the Booth School of Business, the School of Law, and the Kenneth C. Griffin Department of Economics, have developed tenure-clock and promotion practices that fall within the Statutes but that deviate from the familiar two terms as assistant professor followed by an up-or-out decision. Those policies, which are applied uniformly to all appointees in those units, have been reviewed and approved by the Provost.
2.7 Cases proposing appointment as or promotion to professor

The provisions of this section apply to new appointments or promotions at the rank of professor. The same considerations that apply to appointments as tenured associate professors (section 2.5) apply to professorial appointments, except that the latter appointments are based on actual accomplishment to date rather than promise of such, together with the likelihood that scholarly accomplishment of the highest order will continue. This section outlines additional considerations.

An appointment of professor is appropriate for those whose work has been influential and who are widely recognized as leading figures in their fields. This entails a record of continued scholarly contributions since promotion to associate professor, as well as evidence that the candidate will continue to lead in their field for the foreseeable future.

The advice from the Shils Committee concerning promotion is salient: “Promotion to the rank of professor from associate professorship should not be automatic either on the basis of seniority or after the lapse of a specified period of time. Promotion to professorship within the University should be made on the basis of the same procedures as appointments to full professorship from outside the University.”

2.7.1 Letters from the Chair or Dean

As in all cases, we wish to see documentation of the unit’s deliberation. We expect deliberations at a minimum to address the following questions:

1. What has happened since promotion to tenured associate professor that justifies the promotion?
2. What are the indicators that the candidate has achieved distinction in the field?
3. What is the evidence that points to continued sustained impact in the field going forward?

These questions should be addressed for lateral appointments as well for internal promotions. They are particularly important to discuss when the appointee is at the rank of associate professor at his or her current institution.

2.7.2 Letters from outside referees at the rank of professor

Letters should be solicited from those who are at peer institutions and are recognized as being among the most distinguished people in the field.

2.7.3 Evidence of teaching and mentoring (students and junior faculty)

We wish to know about the candidate’s contributions both to training students and postdoctoral fellows: in addition to the materials listed in section 2.4.3 above, the case should include any information on the candidate’s mentoring of junior faculty or other mentoring activities in the field.
2.8 Special Situations

2.8.1 Early promotion to tenure or to full professor

We recognize that the pressure to promote early can be strong, owing to circumstances such as unusually prestigious recognition that the candidate may have received, outside offers, etc. It is important to note that a proposal to award tenure to a candidate will be considered only once; an unsuccessful early case will result in the candidate leaving the institution at the end of their current appointment term; no further case for promotion can be entertained. Although we certainly stand willing to consider exceptional cases, our strong presumption is generally against early action, without unambiguous evidence and justification. All such recommendations should be preceded by consultation with the Vice Provost in charge of academic affairs.

2.8.2 Periods of reduced effort

If an untenured candidate for reappointment or promotion has had a “stop-the-clock,” please discuss whether and how you have taken that into account.

2.8.3 Candidates with entrepreneurial activity

The Shils Report is silent on how or whether a faculty member’s entrepreneurial activities and their fruits, such as patentable inventions or software applications, might inform the Shils criteria of distinction in research, teaching and training, contributions to the intellectual community, and service. While synergy between entrepreneurial activity and the Shils criteria is possible, it is not automatic. Nonetheless, entrepreneurial activity that advances, enables, or accelerates research and dissemination of that research is consonant with the Shils criteria.

Inventions, patents, and commercialization that are central to a promotion candidate’s most significant work are considered positively in the review process to the extent that the results of those activities contribute to the distinction and importance of the faculty member’s body of work. It is incumbent on the Chair and Dean to make clear in their letters how such activities contribute to the candidate’s case.

2.8.4 Appointment offers to faculty members at other institutions

The University observes the Association of American Universities (AAU) policy, which stipulates that offers for autumn appointments to individuals currently on the faculty at other institutions must be made by May 1 so that they may resign from their present institutions by May 15. To meet this deadline, proposals for such appointments should be received in the Provost’s Office by April 7 of each year.
Section 3: Guidelines on Senior Instructional Professor Appointment, Reappointment, and Promotion

All Senior Instructional Professors are expected to demonstrate excellence in the management, supervision, and leadership of or in a program and those working within it and in the performance of their other assigned duties. The University expects that all Senior Instructional Professors will have the ability to qualify for promotion, but seniority and time spent in a position alone does not assure promotion to higher ranks. In particular, promotion from Associate to full Senior Instructional Professor recognizes consistent accomplishment at the highest academic and administrative levels.

1. Appointment as Assistant Senior Instructional Professor. Assistant Senior Instructional Professors are appointed to an initial term on evidence of ability to perform all assigned duties.

2. Reappointment as Assistant Senior Instructional Professor. An Assistant Senior Instructional Professor is eligible to be reappointed at the end of an initial period of appointment not to exceed three years; a candidate must provide evidence of consistent and excellent performance of all assigned duties, and demonstrate the potential for promotion. Assistant Senior Instructional Professors can hold that appointment for at most six years in total.

3. Appointment as or Promotion to Associate Senior Instructional Professor. Appointment as or promotion to Associate Senior Instructional Professor requires evidence of excellence in performing all assigned duties; in addition, candidates must show evidence of the potential for innovation and/or leadership.

4. Reappointment as Associate Senior Instructional Professor. Reappointment as Associate Senior Instructional Professor requires that the candidate demonstrate continued excellence in performing all assigned duties. There is no limit to the number of times a person may be reappointed as Associate Senior Instructional Professor.

5. Appointment as or Promotion to Senior Instructional Professor. Senior Instructional Professors are exemplary. They demonstrate continued, consistent excellent performance of all assigned duties; demonstrate the ability to innovate; show leadership; anticipate issues and employ preemptive problem-solving; and effectively communicate.

6. Reappointment as Senior Instructional Professor. A Senior Instructional Professor can be reappointed if they are continuing to demonstrate the exemplary level of performance described above. There is no limit to the number of times a person can be reappointed as Senior Instructional Professor.
3.1 Components of a Case: Initial Appointments and Requirements for Job Postings

This section describes our expectations for materials generally relevant to all cases for appointment in the senior instructional professor tracks. Any item not enumerated here should not be solicited or included.

**Materials supplied by the candidate:**

- Cover letter
- Curriculum vitae
- Evidence of successful management of programs (or evidence of potential to do so)
- Evidence of teaching effectiveness (e.g., course evaluations, performance review, or other relevant assessments), if applicable
- Teaching statement
- Syllabi of courses taught
- Sample of research or creative work, if applicable

**From the unit:**

- Letters of recommendation (as part of the application if requested)
- Committee’s, Chair’s, and/or Dean’s memos
- Draft letter of appointment
3.2 Components of a Case: Reappointment and Promotion

Units must obtain the following from the candidate:

- Narrative report that addresses work done in all assigned duties in domains, and plans for the future (may include elements of a teaching statement, program assessment, or other elements as applicable)
- Curriculum vitae
- Syllabi of courses taught
- Supporting documents related to the performance of assigned duties only, which can include (assignments and assessments used in courses research, lab manuals, etc.)

The unit must provide:

- Performance evaluation based on evidence of effectiveness in the performance of duties (including an analysis of syllabi and supporting documents provided by the candidate); soliciting letters from supervisees and other colleagues is also acceptable.
- Committee’s, Chair’s and/or Dean’s memos
- Draft letter of appointment
3.3 Senior Instructional Professor Job Framework

Assistant, Associate, and full Senior Instructional Professors (SIPs) are a set of appointment types created to differentiate Senior Lecturers.

New Senior Lecturers or SIPs will typically have substantial responsibility for managing non-student employees. In addition to those duties, SIPs may have other roles and responsibilities as well. SIP appointments are intended to enable the University to recruit and promote individuals who can make critical contributions to instructional programs in the University.

Domains

The list of domains is not intended to be exhaustive. Newly appointed SIPs will be expected to have effort in at least the first domain (Management and Supervision), and possibly more, in various proportions adding up to 100%. SIPs may be expected to exercise these duties within and outside of the programs in which they are appointed.

1 Management and Supervision

Responsibility for leading or directing a program and the individuals teaching or working within it

Should include hiring, evaluation, supervision, discipline, or mentoring of academic appointees (including Instructional Professors, Lecturers, and Collegiate Assistant Professors), teaching assistants, and staff when applicable. Can include, but is not limited to, administrative and budget responsibilities for programs and/or centers (including securing internal and external funding when needed and/or appropriate, and management of lab equipment); organizing and conducting professional development opportunities; administration of assessment, placement, and testing when applicable; facilities oversight and management; program and/or center leadership and entrepreneurship (including planning, vision, promotion, outreach, representing the program or center within university and beyond), fundraising and development (as directed by and in partnership with ARD, Division, or School).

2 Teaching

Instruction of learners or (programmatic) oversight of others engaged in instruction

Can include, but is not limited to, teaching students in classroom, laboratories, and other modes of instruction (such as independent studies, BA/MA thesis supervision, and pedagogical workshops); design and development of their own courses or curriculum for programs of instruction; and advising (curricular and co-curricular), oversight of course sequences and supporting curricular development for those sequences (design and oversight of content, learning goals, and course structure).
3 Professional Development

*Maintaining expertise necessary to inform and improve effectiveness in assigned duties*

Can include, but is not limited to, acquiring and disseminating expertise in how students learn; maintaining subject-area expertise in ways that affect the understanding of how the subject-area should be taught, whether by oneself or others; creating, disseminating or publishing materials that will improve teaching at UChicago and beyond.

4 Research or Creative Work

*Producing various types of research or creative work*

Can include, but is not limited to, research in the subjects comprised in one’s instructional programs; supporting undergraduate research; or producing creative work.

5 Other

*Other duties*

Can include, but is not limited to, serving on committees or attending meetings related to work and initiatives at the university, divisional, departmental, or unit level. May also include service to the profession, such as external evaluations and committee work; consultation with external stakeholders; and service to professional associations.
3.4 Senior Lecturer

This position shall be held by people who have exceptional competence in teaching and superior academic credentials or professional competence. In addition to the normal Lecturer role of classroom or laboratory teacher, Senior Lecturers will be hired when there is a substantial and sustainable role in a program of study, which may include one or more of the following:

- delegated responsibility for a program of study or an undergraduate core sequence;
- supervision, evaluation, discipline, and mentoring of Lecturers and of academic appointees represented by the Service Employee International Union;
- the responsibility for training and evaluating graduate students in their instructional roles;
- engagement with advanced graduate students in narrowly specialized course-work or independent study;
- other plausible roles in addition to classroom teaching.

Senior Lecturers normally will not be members of dissertation committees or have time protected to pursue their own research; they may engage in applied research in connection with the program of study in which they work. Ongoing evaluations must put considerable weight on both how well the classroom work is done, and the additional roles. The scholarship is not to be evaluated according to the high standards applicable to Faculty scholarship, but rather on how well it supports the Senior Lecturer’s instructional role or engages students.

The minimum qualifications for Senior Lecturer are the following:

1. Outstanding effectiveness and competence in teaching, including, e.g., advanced training in how students learn, program assessment, training new teachers in a field, or development or deployment of learning technology. Student evaluations alone are not evidence of this sort of competence.
2. Exceptional mastery of the pedagogy the subject matter taught (but excluding related subject matters, viz., exceptional language teaching is not a qualification to teach literature or history).
3. A Ph.D. or other terminal degree when appropriate; or, professional experience sufficient to establish superior professional credentials.

Appointments in this rank may be for a term of up to five years. Initial appointment must be for at least one year. Senior Lecturers appointed for a specified term are to be reviewed prior to reappointment. Termination of employment of a Senior Lecturer on a five-year term may be made only after notice of termination has been given to the person at least five-and-one-half months before the date set for termination. Appointments also may be made with an undetermined end date.

There shall be an academic review of each Senior Lecturer appointed with an undetermined end date at least once every three years. Termination of the employment of a Senior Lecturer appointed with an undetermined end date may be made only after
notice of termination has been given to the person at least one year before the date set for termination.
Section 4: Guidelines on Lecturer and Instructional Professor Appointment, Reappointment, and Promotion

4.0 Components of a Case: Initial Appointment and Requirements for Job Postings

Appointments in the Instructional Professor ranks are vital to meeting the University’s commitment to outstanding instructional programs at all levels. Applications for such positions must be evaluated with care and particular attention to the requirements of the job and in line with University policies concerning academic appointments.

Initial appointments in the Instructional Professor ranks are made with the expectation that candidates will be able to successfully fulfill at a high level the instructional duties outlined in section 11.4.A and additional duties described in section 11.4.B of the Collective Bargaining Agreement, and will in time progress to the rank of full Instructional Professor. Job postings must require applicants to submit the candidate materials listed below as part of their complete application for initial screening by the hiring committee. To the extent possible during the interview process, units should have final candidates offer sample classes or teaching demonstrations; these may be recorded actual classes previously taught or exhibition classes assigned by the hiring unit.

From the candidate:

1. A cover letter
2. An up-to-date curriculum vitae\(^5\)
3. Syllabi of courses previously taught and/or designed by the candidate or sample syllabi of proposed courses
4. A teaching statement
5. Additional evidence of pedagogical accomplishment and promise: such as a teaching portfolio, recent course evaluations, third-party observations of the applicant’s teaching if available, or other relevant assessments. These may include letters of recommendation.
6. If necessary: a writing sample
   a. Evaluating the research of an applicant for a teaching position is not necessary, and must be avoided, unless teaching writing and/or research skills is a component of the position.
   b. Because research output is not a determining factor for reappointment and promotion, we discourage routine solicitation of research work as it is almost sure to give rise to an incorrect perception on the part of candidates that the position involves research. The sample (such as a dissertation chapter, peer-reviewed article, etc.) may be useful for determining whether

---

\(^5\) Applicants who submit an inaccurate or outdated CV will be disqualified.
the applicant meets the threshold of control over subject matter, breadth, fit, and intellectual power, but is not relevant for ranking or choosing among candidates beyond the evidence it provides that they meet expectations for subject-matter expertise.

c. In general, unless prior approval is sought and granted by the provost, no other materials should be solicited from applicants.

From the unit:
In addition to materials solicited from applicants as part of the application process, the following items should be included:

- A draft letter of appointment
- Committee’s, Chair’s, and Dean’s memos
- Letters of recommendation if solicited as part of the application

Initial appointment of Lecturers and Instructional Professors must be the result of a robust academic search and selection process and offers must conform to the Collective Bargaining Agreement (CBA) between the University and Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73, if the position is included in the bargaining unit.

Search committees must take care to avoid even the appearance of favoritism. All materials should be discussed and evaluated with care, in the same way a faculty applicant’s research accomplishments and promise are discussed when considering faculty appointments. Questions about favoritism arise with some regularity in considering candidates who did their training at the University or who are already employed here. Whenever such candidates are considered, great pains must be taken to identify and screen external candidates of high quality so that internal candidates can be properly compared with external candidates. The usual policies regarding conflict of interest apply here, just as with faculty appointments: candidates’ mentors or advisors should not be involved in making employment decisions for these positions.
4.1 Overview of Components of a Case: Reappointment or Progression

All reappointment or progression cases for Instructional Professors and Lecturers require a set of materials from the appointee and another set of materials from the unit conducting the review.

From the candidate:
- Current curriculum vitae
- Annual reports
- Review narrative statement discussing all assigned duties and professional development
- Syllabi of all courses taught
- All course instructional materials authored by the candidate, including assignments, and assessments

From the Unit:
- Draft letter of appointment
- Previous appointment letters
- Committee’s, Chair’s, and/or Dean’s memos
- Performance evaluation memo
- Course observation reports
- Student letters (optional)
- Student evaluations
- Letters from faculty or other University employees (optional)

Memos from review committees and supervisors must include analysis and discussion of all submitted materials (syllabi, course materials, and supporting documents) that evaluate a candidate’s success in performing all assigned duties over the entire term.

Curriculum Vitae

Must be current and accurate.

Annual Reports

The annual report is the appointee’s opportunity to narrate their accomplishments since the previous annual report, to describe their goals as a teacher and the challenges they have faced, and to address how they plan to develop themselves further. Appointees should not think of the report as a statement of their “teaching philosophy” but rather an account of how they have actually gone about their work, their goals, why they think they
have succeeded (or ways in which they have not), and how they, as a professional, have addressed challenges and plan to continue addressing them. The annual report should thus contain an account that is both retrospective and future oriented.

The University has assigned each IP the equivalent of a course to enable them to have the space and time for Professional Development, precisely so that they will be able to progress toward fulfilling the criteria for reappointment and promotion. In your annual report, it is incumbent on you to relate your Professional Development activities toward achieving the form “excellence in teaching” takes in your field, as well as to the overarching goal of teaching, i.e., student learning.

**Review Narrative Statement**

The purpose of the personal statement submitted at the time of review is to provide an opportunity for the appointee to give a holistic account of their career at the University. It is thus a chance to synthesize the information in individual annual reports and to give an overall account of the appointee’s professional trajectory. At each review, the appointee should address their fulfillment of the criteria appropriate to their rank.

For IP or Lecturers, the essential accomplishment is student learning. Therefore, the review statement should lay out what they expect students to learn (even if that has been decided by the program rather than by them), how their evaluation of student learning has evolved, and how they adjust their teaching to be most effective. Many teachers do this intuitively, but to meet expectations for progression, the dossier must show that the appointee is intentionally and self consciously analyzing their own performance and how they exemplify “excellence in teaching.”

The review statement should also discuss the appointee’s overall professional development: how they have set about to become an increasingly effective teacher; how this is evident in refinements to their course design, classroom strategies, and assessment practices; and how these efforts have resulted in improvements to student learning. The statement should relate how distinct professional development activities have contributed to this process.

**Syllabi**

Reappointment and promotion cases enable the appointee to provide all their course materials so that they may indicate how they have refined them over their career.

**Additional Course Materials, Assessments, and Assignments**

Examples include instructional materials distributed to students, lab manuals, descriptions of assignments, and rubrics. These are essential for evaluating how the appointee can and does measure student learning.

**Student Evaluations**

Student evaluations play a role in reappointment cases. Such data are of limited value in isolation, or as a measure of what students learn. Their real value lies in enabling the appointee to demonstrate how they understand the criticisms and respond to them when it is warranted.
4.2 Reappointment and Progression Criteria for Instructional Professors and Track A Lecturers

“The purpose of a Lecturer’s performance review is to support excellence in teaching by ensuring that the Lecturer’s efforts align with the University’s teaching mission and expectations for teaching practices of the highest quality, to ensure adherence to academic and professional standards, to assess overall performance and to encourage continued professional growth of the Lecturer.” [CBA]

The University expects that all academic appointees are or in time will become outstanding. Thus, all academic promotion tracks have the same structure and rationale, including the Instructional Professor track. Generally, initial appointments at the Assistant IP rank are made on the basis of promise that the appointee will be able to develop themselves into exemplary practitioners of their pedagogical duties. All teaching track appointees are expected to make progress, gain experience and apply it, adopt best practices for delivering course material and assessing student learning, and be successful in leading students to reach the learning objectives established by the unit.

Recommendations for reappointment and promotion should be made in accordance with Article 13 and on the basis of evidence that the appointee is fulfilling the criteria appropriate to their rank.

Track A

Reappointment as Lecturer 1A/B

For reappointment, review of a Lecturer 1 should demonstrate fulfillment of all instructional duties as outlined in Article 11.4.A at a high level and evidence that students are reaching learning objectives set by the unit.

Progression to Lecturer 2

A Lecturer 1B under consideration for progression to Lecturer 2 should demonstrate fulfillment of all instructional duties as outlined in Article 11.4.A at a high level; evidence that students are reaching learning objectives set by the unit; and potential for continued contributions to the instructional mission of the University and for professional growth.

Track B

Reappointment as Assistant Instructional Professor

Assistant Instructional Professors are hired with the hope that they will be promoted to Associate Instructional Professor, and the University’s review process is designed to help guide Assistant IPs toward successful progression to Associate IP. As such, the University understands satisfactory performance for an Assistant IP to be appropriate professional growth towards progression. See the following section for further information.

Progression to Associate Instructional Professor

In order to be progressed to Associate IP, an Assistant IP should demonstrate:

- fulfillment of all assigned teaching and other assigned duties at a high level as outlined in Articles 11.4.A and 11.4.B;
● evidence that students are reaching learning objectives set by the unit;

● dedication to staying current in the subject matter of the instructional area and the ability to deploy knowledge of the instructional area for the benefit of student learning; and

● consistent pursuit of professional development leading to regular careful refinement of the approach to teaching to benefit student learning. This may include, but is not limited to:
  ○ periodic adjustment of course design, of assignments and assessment methods, of technology deployed in class, or of activities used to structure the learning environment;
  ○ ability to reflect on and respond to the results of the Assistant IP’s own teaching approaches, methods, and strategies. This may include, but is not limited to, analyzing student performance on assignments and assessments to evaluate the success of teaching decisions and responding to feedback on performance received from the University; and evidence of potential for future professional growth and sustained performance at the highest level.

Reappointment as Associate Instructional Professor

In order to be reappointed as Associate IP, a review of a current Associate IP should demonstrate continual excellence in the performance of all teaching and assigned duties, as outlined in the criteria for progression to Associate IP, as well as a documented record of continual pursuit of professional development and improvement.

Progression to Instructional Professor

In order to be progressed to Instructional Professor, an Associate IP should demonstrate a dedicated and sustained commitment to improvement in teaching practices and professional growth since progression to Associate IP, resulting in noteworthy achievements benefitting the University’s teaching mission. Such achievements may include but are not limited to substantial innovation as a teacher in the area of instruction, other professional accomplishments as a teacher in the area of instruction, and/or recognition for teaching excellence at the University.
Components of a Case for Reappointment or Progression

All reappointment or progression cases for Instructional Professors and Lecturers require a set of materials from the appointee and another set of materials from the unit conducting the review.

Detailed expectations for each component are below the following list.

From the appointee:
- Curriculum vitae
- Annual Reports
- Review Statement
- Syllabi
- Additional course materials
- Assessments/assignments
- Student evaluations

From the department or unit
- Course observations
- Student letters
- Letters from faculty or other University employees
- Performance Evaluation Memo
- Draft of the letter for the appointee
- Chair’s Memo
- Deans’ Memos

Materials from the Appointee

Curriculum Vitae
Must be current and accurate.

Annual Reports
The annual report is the appointee’s opportunity to narrate their accomplishments since the previous annual report, to describe their goals as a teacher and the challenges they have faced, and to address how they plan to develop themselves further. Appointees should not think of the report as a statement of their “teaching philosophy” but rather an account of how they have actually gone about their work, their goals, why they think they have succeeded (or ways in which they have not), and how they, as a professional, have addressed challenges and plan to continue addressing them. The annual report should thus contain an account that is both retrospective and future oriented.
The University has assigned each IP the equivalent of a course to enable them to have the space and time for Professional Development, precisely so that they will be able to progress toward fulfilling the criteria for reappointment and promotion. In your annual report, it is incumbent on you to relate your Professional Development activities toward achieving the form “excellence in teaching” takes in your field, as well as to the overarching goal of teaching, i.e., student learning.

**Personal Statement for a Review**

The purpose of the personal statement submitted at the time of review is to provide an opportunity for the appointee to give a holistic account of their career at the University. It is thus a chance to synthesize the information in individual annual reports and to give an overall account of the appointee’s professional trajectory. At each review, the appointee should address their fulfillment of the criteria appropriate to their rank (see above section).

For IP or Lecturers, the essential accomplishment is student learning. Therefore, the review statement should lay out what they expect students to learn (even if that has been decided by the program rather than by them), how their evaluation of student learning has evolved, and how they adjust their teaching to be most effective. Many teachers do this intuitively, but to meet expectations for progression, the dossier must show that the appointee is intentionally and self-consciously analyzing their own performance and how they exemplify “excellence in teaching.”

The review statement should also discuss the appointee’s overall professional development: how they have set about to become an increasingly effective teacher; how this is evident in refinements to their course design, classroom strategies, and assessment practices; and how these efforts have resulted in improvements to student learning. The statement should relate how distinct professional development activities have contributed to this process.

**Syllabi**

Reappointment and promotion cases enable the appointee to provide all their course materials so that they may indicate how they have refined them over their career.

**Additional course materials**

If available. Examples include instructional materials distributed to students.

**Assessments/assignments**

These are essential for evaluating how the appointee can measure student learning.

**Student evaluations**

Student evaluations play a role in reappointment cases. Such data are of limited value in isolation, or as a measure of what students learn. Their real value lies in enabling the appointee to demonstrate how they understand the criticisms and respond to them when it is warranted.
Materials from the Unit

- Course observations Student Letters
- Letters from faculty or other University employees Performance Evaluation Memo
- Draft of the letter for the appointee Chair’s Memo
- Deans’ Memos
Section 5: Guidelines on Clinical Appointments, Appointments from Practice, and Research Appointments

The University also makes a variety of clinical, research, and appointments from practice for specified or unspecified lengths of term. Each of the following appointments shall be made for periods and upon terms consistent with the applicable administrative policies of the University, which are subject to change. No appointments under this section shall be eligible for or carry any implication of indefinite tenure. At the end of the term of appointment, employment by the University ceases unless the appointment is renewed, and the failure to give or receive a notice of termination shall not give rise to any contrary presumptions or implications either as to (1) promotion, or (2) reappointment.

These other appointments are as follows:

5.1 Academic Appointment Types and Tracks in the Division of the Biological Sciences (§11.2.1)

In the Pritzker School of Medicine, persons engaged in the educational programs of the School may be appointed to one of the following designated positions. Appointments requiring clinical privileges which are made under §11.2.1 shall terminate upon the loss of medical licensure or attending physician privileges, except when the appointee voluntarily relinquishes those privileges upon beginning a medical leave. In such instances where the appointee voluntarily relinquishes attending physician privileges upon beginning a medical leave, the academic appointment shall terminate upon the expiration of the academic appointment or the cessation of medical disability insurance coverage, whichever occurs sooner.

For more information, please review the Division of the Biological Sciences’ Academic Appointment Types and Tracks.
5.2 Professors from Practice and Clinical Appointments in the Law School

In the Law School, persons engaged in the educational and clinical law programs may be appointed to one of the following designated positions.

**Minimum requirements for initial appointment case:** CV and a memo that explains the rationale for the appointment based on qualifications appropriate to the rank and proposed activity; for reappointment, CV and memo that explains rationale for reappointment and review process.

**Clinical Instructors**

Appointments as Clinical Instructor shall be made for terms of up to two years. Clinical Instructors shall ordinarily serve in this position for a maximum of four years.

**Assistant Clinical Professors**

Appointments as Assistant Clinical Professor shall be made for terms of up to three years. Assistant Clinical Professors shall ordinarily serve in this position for a maximum of six years.

**Associate Clinical Professors**

Appointments as Associate Clinical Professor shall be made for terms of up to three years. Associate Clinical Professors shall ordinarily serve in this position for a maximum of six years.

**Clinical Professors**

Appointments as Clinical Professor shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limits on the number of terms.

**Adjunct Professor from Practice**

Part-time and visiting appointments as Adjunct Professor from Practice shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limits on the number of terms.

**Professor from Practice**

Appointments as Professor from Practice shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limits on the number of terms.
5.3 Clinical and Adjunct Appointments in the Booth School of Business

In the Booth School of Business, persons engaged in the educational programs of the School may be appointed to one of the following positions.

**Minimum requirements:** CV and memo that explains the rationale for the appointment based on qualifications appropriate to the rank and proposed activity; for reappointment, CV and memo that explains rationale for reappointment and review process.

**Adjunct Assistant Professor of [Area]**

Appointments as Adjunct Assistant Professor shall be made for terms of up to five years. These appointments may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms, though an adjunct assistant professor shall ordinarily serve in this position for no longer than six years.

**Adjunct Associate Professor of [Area]**

Appointments as Adjunct Associate Professor shall be made for terms of up to five years. These appointments may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms, though an adjunct associate professor shall ordinarily serve in this position for no longer than six years.

**Adjunct Professor of [Area]**

Appointments as Adjunct Professor shall be made for terms of up to five years. These appointments may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms.

**Clinical Assistant Professor of [Area]**

Appointments as Clinical Assistant Professor shall be made for terms of up to five years. These appointments may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms, though a clinical assistant professor shall ordinarily serve in this position for no longer than six years.

**Clinical Associate Professor of [Area]**

Appointments as Clinical Associate Professor shall be made for terms of up to five years. These appointments may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms, though a clinical associate professor shall ordinarily serve in this position for no longer than six years.

**Clinical Professor of [Area]**

Appointments as Clinical Professor shall be made for terms of up to five years. These appointments may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms.
5.4 Research Appointees

Research Appointees are classified as follows. Minimum requirements for case: CV, Memo, and Research Statement.

- Research Associate and Senior Research Associate; Research Scientist; Research Assistant Professor, Research Associate Professor, and Research Professor.

*Cases must include a CV and all materials from the candidate, the relevant divisional policies for the proposed rank, and an argument for appointment at proposed rank. If the candidate is internal, include how the new position will be different.*

**Research Appointments within the Biological Sciences Division**

Guidelines for Research Track Appointments within the Behavioral Sciences Division can be found on the BSD Appointive Process Guidelines page. For more information on research track appointments, please review the Academic Handbook.

**Research Appointments within the Physical Sciences Division**

**Research Assistant Professor**

Normally a Research Assistant Professor will be several years beyond the Ph.D. and be actively involved in the research led by a faculty member. Cases must include at least two external letters of support and one internal letter of support.

Terms of Appointment/Reappointment: Appointment may be made for up to three years. Ordinarily, the total number of years a person may serve in this rank is limited to six years.

- **Terms shorter than three years:** In the last year of the term of an appointment shorter than three years, the appropriate academic unit shall review the case and recommend to the Dean that the Research Assistant Professor be reappointed, be promoted, or not be reappointed. The Research Assistant Professor on a term of less than three years shall be given notice of termination at least three months prior to the end date of the current appointment.

- **Terms of three years:** In the third year in rank, the appropriate academic unit shall review the case and recommend to the Dean either that the Research Assistant Professor be reappointed, be promoted, or not be reappointed. Reappointment requires evidence of adequate progress towards promotion before the time limit of six years in rank. The Research Assistant Professor shall be given notice of the decision not to promote at least five-and-one-half months prior to the end date of the current appointment.

In the sixth year in rank, the appropriate academic unit shall review the case and recommend to the Dean either that the Research Assistant Professor be promoted or not be promoted. The Research Assistant Professor shall be given notice of the decision not to promote at least five-and-one-half months prior to the end date of the current appointment.
Research Associate Professor

The primary activity of a Research Associate Professor shall be research as part of a research group or in association with a faculty member. Qualifications for the rank of Research Associate Professor include significant publication record beyond thesis, strong evidence of independent research, and a research program that would likely be funded for several years. Cases must include at least two external letters and two internal letters of support.

Terms of Appointment/Reappointment: Appointment to the position of Research Associate Professor may be made either with an undetermined end date or for a term of up to, but no more than, five years. There is no limitation on the number of times term appointments may be renewed. The faculty of the appropriate academic unit shall make an academic review of those on term appointment prior to renewal, with an academic review at least every three years.

- The faculty of the appropriate academic unit shall make an academic review of those with an undetermined end date at least every three years.
- Termination notice for those on a term appointment must be given at least five-and-one-half-months prior to the end of the current appointment.
- Termination notice for those with an undetermined end date must be given at least two years before the date set for termination. Employment may continue beyond the termination notice period if the Research Associate Professor is successful in obtaining federal or non-federal funding to continue to support their salary and research. However, this is dependent on their sponsorship by a faculty member or active faculty emeritus in the PSD. Additionally, the notice period will not be reinstated.

Research Professor

The primary activity of a Research Professor shall be research as part of a research group or in association with a faculty member. Qualifications include evidence of major independent scientific research, excellent published research contributions, potential for additional contributions to the field, international recognition, and a research program that is likely to be funded for several years. Cases must include at least three outside letters and two internal letters of support.

Terms of Appointment/Reappointment: Appointment to the position of Research Professor may be made either with an undetermined end date or for a term of up to, but no more than, five years. There is no limitation on the number of times term appointments may be renewed.

- Research Professors are exempt from periodic review.
- Termination notice for those on a term appointment must be given at least five-and-one-half-months prior to the end of the current appointment.
- Termination notice for those with an undetermined end date must be given at least two years before the date set for termination. Employment may continue beyond the termination notice period if the Research Professor is successful in obtaining federal or non-federal funding to continue to support their salary and research.
However, this is dependent on their sponsorship by a faculty member or active faculty emeritus in the PSD. Additionally, the notice period will not be reinstated.

**Research Appointments Not in the Biological or Physical Sciences**

**Research Appointments with Professorial Titles**

Research Appointments with professorial titles are independent researchers, normally holding a Ph.D. They are expected to stand alone on their scholarly accomplishments, and should meet the customary Chicago research standards of the rank they hold. Appointees are engaged in research and expected to make a substantial contribution to that research as part of a research group or in association with a faculty member.

**Research Assistant Professor**

Terms of Appointment/Reappointment: A Research Assistant Professor shall be appointed or reappointed for a term of up to three years. In no case shall a person hold the position of Research Assistant Professor for more than six years. In the last year of the term of the appointment the appropriate academic unit shall review the case and recommend to the appropriate Dean either that the Research Assistant Professor be promoted to Research Associate Professor or not be reappointed. The Research Assistant Professor shall be given notice of the decision not to reappoint no later than January 15. Persons whose appointive year ends at dates other than June 30 or September 30 shall be given 5.5 months notice.

**Research Associate Professor**

Terms of Appointment/Reappointment: Appointment to the position of Research Associate Professor may be for a term of no more than five years. There is no limitation on the number of years a person may serve in this rank.

A Research Associate Professor shall be given notice of the decision not to reappoint at least five-and-one-half months prior to the date set for termination.

**Research Professor**

Terms of Appointment/Reappointment: Appointments of Research Professor shall be made upon the recommendation, appropriately documented, of the Department or other appropriate academic unit and the Chair of that unit to the Dean of the Division or School, who shall make recommendations to the provost.

The terms of appointment are the same as for Research Associate Professor.

**Research Associates without Professorial Titles**

Research appointments without professorial titles are researchers normally holding a Ph.D, not expected to stand alone in their scholarly accomplishments, and are engaged in supporting the research or pedagogical missions of a unit in various ways.

**Research Associate**

These appointments shall be held by people who hold a Ph.D. (or other appropriate terminal degree), are engaged in research that is principally collaborative and are
expected to make a substantial contribution to that research as part of a research group, in association with a faculty member, or as a member of a center, institute, or program.

**Senior Research Associate**

Senior Research Associates may combine research as part of a research group or in association with a faculty member, and management of grants and centers. Senior Research Associate is the highest rank of research associate without professorial rank.

Appointments to the position of Senior Research Associate may be made for a term of no more than five years. There is no limitation on the number of years a person may serve as a Senior Research Associate.

Notice of termination for appointees on a five-year term shall be given at least five-and-one-half months in advance. For appointments for a term of fewer than three years, the termination of the individual’s employment at the University requires no further notice.
5.5 University Librarians

On the nomination of the Director of the Library, appointments may be made to the position: Librarian.

Minimum requirements for case: CV and Memo.
5.6 Professors of Practice in the Arts in the Division of the Humanities

In the Division of the Humanities and the College, persons who are accomplished practitioners in their fields and outstanding instructors (and hold a PhD, appropriate terminal degree, or equivalent professional experience) whose responsibilities are for instruction and instruction-related activities in the performing and creative arts programs may be appointed to one of the following positions.

Minimum requirements for initial appointment cases:

1. Cover letter
2. CV
3. Teaching statement
4. Sample/draft syllabi
5. Additional evidence of pedagogical accomplishment and promise: evidence that might be predictive of teaching effectiveness in the position, such as a teaching portfolio, sample syllabi, recent course evaluations, third-party observations of the applicant’s teaching if available, or other relevant assessments. These may include letters of recommendation.
6. Evaluations of creative work

Assistant Professor of Practice in the Arts

Appointments as Assistant Professor of Practice in the Arts in the Division of the Humanities and in the College shall be made for an initial term of four years and may be renewed for an additional three years. An Assistant Professor of Practice in the Arts shall ordinarily serve in this position for no longer than two terms.

Qualifications (From CBA): Evidence or promise of excellence, recognition and high quality artistic output in the area of expertise; PhD, MFA, or other terminal professional degree; evidence that the individual will be an effective teacher in their field; engagement and service to the pedagogical and intellectual work of the Department or appointive unit, the Division of the Humanities, the College, and the University.

Associate Professor of Practice in the Arts

Appointments as Associate Professor of Practice in the Arts in the Division of the Humanities and the College shall be made for terms of five years and may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms.

Qualifications (from CBA): Demonstrated excellence, recognition and high quality artistic output in the area of expertise; promise of continued excellence and high-quality artistic output in the area of expertise five or more years beyond the PhD, MFA, or other terminal degree; evidence of significant professional development since the degree; demonstrated exemplary ability to teach the skills of their field; engagement and service to the pedagogical and intellectual work of the department or academic unit, the Division of the Humanities, the College, and the University.
Professor of Practice in the Arts

Appointments as Professor of Practice in the Arts in the Division of the Humanities and the College shall be made for terms of up to five years and may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms.

Qualifications (from CBA): Demonstrated excellence, recognition and high-quality artistic output in the area of expertise; promise of continued excellence and high-quality artistic output in the area of expertise five or more years beyond the PhD, MFA, or other terminal degree; evidence of significant professional development since the degree; demonstrated exemplary ability to teach the skills of their field; engagement and service to the pedagogical and intellectual work of the department or academic unit, the Division of the Humanities, the College, and the University.
5.7 Adjunct and Clinical Appointments in the Division of the Physical Sciences

In the Division of the Physical Sciences, persons whose responsibility is in the practice oriented master’s degree programs may be appointed to one of the following positions. All such appointments shall end either with the end of the practice oriented master’s degree program or with the end of the appointee’s affiliation with the program. Clinical appointments shall end either with the end of the practice oriented master’s degree program or with the end of the appointee’s affiliation with the program.

Minimum requirements for case: CV, teaching statement, and memo that explains the rationale for the appointment based on qualifications appropriate to the rank and proposed activity; for reappointment, CV and memo that explains rationale for reappointment and review process.

Adjunct Instructor

Part-time appointments as Adjunct Instructor of [Area] shall be made for terms of up to two years, renewable up to a maximum of four years in the aggregate.

Adjunct Assistant Professor

Part-time appointments as Adjunct Assistant Professor of [Area] shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms, although an adjunct assistant professor shall ordinarily serve in this position for no longer than six years.

Adjunct Associate Professor

Part-time appointments as Adjunct Associate Professor of [Area] shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms, although an adjunct associate professor shall ordinarily serve in this position for no longer than six years.

Adjunct Professor

Part-time appointments as Adjunct Professor of [Area] shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms.

Assistant Clinical Professor

Appointments as Assistant Clinical Professor of [Area] shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms.

Associate Clinical Professor

Appointments as Associate Clinical Professor of [Area] shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms.
Clinical Professor

Appointments as Clinical Professor of [Area] shall be made for terms of up to five years, which may be renewed with no limit on the number of terms.
5.8 Clinical Appointments in the Division of the Social Sciences

In the Division of the Social Sciences, persons whose responsibility is in practice oriented master’s programs may be appointed to one of the following positions.

Minimum requirements for case: CV, teaching statement, and a memo that explains the rationale for the appointment based on qualifications appropriate to the rank and proposed activity; for reappointment, CV, teaching statement, and memo that explains rationale for reappointment and review process.

Assistant Clinical Professor

Appointments as Assistant Clinical Professor shall be made for terms of up to three years. In the last year of the term of the appointment, a faculty committee designated by the Dean of the Division of the Social Sciences shall review the record of each Assistant Clinical Professor and recommend to the Dean one of three dispositions:

a) appointment for an additional term of up to three years as Assistant Clinical Professor;

b) promotion to Associate Clinical Professor; or

c) termination at the end of the last year of the term.

Assistant Clinical Professors shall be given notice of the decision not later than January 15.

Associate Clinical Professor

Appointments as Associate Clinical Professor shall be made for terms of up to three years. In the last year of the term of appointment, a faculty committee designated by the Dean of the Division of the Social Sciences shall review the record of each Associate Clinical Professor and recommend to the Dean one of three dispositions: a) appointment for an additional term as Associate Clinical Professor; b) promotion to Clinical Professor; or c) termination at the end of the last year of the term. Associate Clinical Professors shall be given notice of the decision not later than January 15.

Clinical Professor

Appointments as Clinical Professor shall be made for terms of indefinite length, which may be terminated only after notice of termination has been given one year in advance of such termination.
5.9. Visiting Appointments

Visiting academic appointments are recommended by academic units (divisions, schools, or the College), and require the approval of the provost. A visiting appointment normally may be offered only to an individual who has academic rank from a "home" academic institution: it must be the case that the end of the appointment at the home institution extends beyond the end of the visit to the University. Visiting appointees do not have a University of Chicago Statutory academic rank: they bring their rank with them, and the terms of their appointments here are subject to administrative policies set in the Office of the Provost.

Details on the variety of visiting appointments and categories, and specific requirements, are available in the Academic Handbook.

5.9.1 Visiting Scholars and other Special Situation Appointments

Persons with appropriate academic qualifications who will be coming to the University to conduct their own research, use our Libraries and research collections, or to collaborate with faculty members may be appointed as Associates or as Visiting Scholars in Departments, Schools, or the College with faculty sponsorship (who must be in residence during the visit). Visiting Scholars are typically on leave from their home institutions. Terms are for up to one year and may be renewed for a second year.

Minimum requirements: completion of agreement form (approved by chair, dean and provost), CV, description of proposed endeavors.

Additional information about the range of special situation academic and visiting appointments (Associate, Visiting Senior Research Associate, and Visiting Research Associate) can be found in Appendix D.
Section 6: Resources for Academic Searches

The following resources are for academic search committees to review each time a search is conducted. A complete list of relevant documents, reports, statutes, contact information, etc. can be found in Appendix A, and any additional guidance or supplemental materials for recruitment can be found in Appendix C.

6.1 Search Committee Trainings

Throughout the year scheduled trainings are offered by the Office of the Provost and the Office for Equal Opportunity & Access for those who have never led a search previously or those who want a refresher course. All members of a search committee, as well as staff members, are welcome to attend. See Guidelines for Academic Appointments to sign up.

- For executive level searches or ad hoc training, please reach out to Scott Velasquez, Executive Director for the Office for Equal Opportunity & Access, to schedule a session.

- The Diversity & Inclusion team also offers ad hoc training on conducting inclusive searches. Please reach out to Tiana Pyer-Pereira, Associate Director for Diversity & Inclusion, to schedule a training session.
6.2 Search Resources and Example Checklist

The following checklist outlines the steps to conducting a successful and compliant search:

☐ Prepare for the type of search (Faculty or other academic appointee).
☐ Consider attending a training session.
  ☐ If you have never led a search or want a refresher course, each Autumn monthly trainings are offered by the Office of the Provost and the Office for Equal Opportunity & Access.
  ☐ If you have previously led searches, consider re-familiarizing yourself with and going through the training materials listed in Appendix C.
  ☐ If you would specifically like guidance on conducting an inclusive search, please reach out to Tiana Pyer-Pereira, Associate Director for Diversity & Inclusion.
☐ Develop a position description.
☐ Consider writing a Search and Screening Plan (if not already required) and create a formal posting.
  ☐ Diversifying Your Applicant Pool is an excellent resource to review in order to help build your search plan.
☐ Conduct outreach and publicize the search.
☐ Screen and review completed applicant materials based on required experience or promise in the areas of importance listed in the position description.
  ☐ Verify that all of the required materials from the candidate for the case (CV, Letters of Recommendation, etc.) as laid out in the job posting has been received.
  ☐ Incomplete applications cannot be considered as part of the screening.
☐ Review Guide to Acceptable Interview Questions with committee members or any staff members / students who may interact with the candidate.
☐ Schedule interviews and campus visits with long and/or short-listed candidates.
  ☐ Make sure when reaching out to candidates, information is provided regarding requesting reasonable accommodations. If a candidate requires any accommodation, please reach out to the Director of Access UChicago, Marquetta Scott, for assistance coordinating.
☐ Conduct candidate interviews and campus visits.
  ☐ When interviewing candidates identify a core set of base questions that will be asked consistently of every candidate.
  ☐ These baseline questions should refer directly back to the core competencies in the position description.
☐ Select a candidate.
☐ Submit a hiring case (including the required Search Narrative) to the Office of the Provost.
Appendix A: Complete List of Materials Referenced in This Document

The following is a complete list of all the relevant documents, reports, statutes, contact information, etc. mentioned in this document.

Relevant Documents

Search Documents

*Agreement for Visiting Scholars* (PDF)
*Search and Screening Plan*
*Search Narrative* (Word Document)

University Search Firm Process Memo *(PDF | Word)*

Materials for Academic Administrators

The following link is for academic leadership and executive staff involved in the hiring process. It is not accessible without prior approval from the Office of the Provost.

**Hiring Resources for Administrators**

You will need to make sure you are logged out of your personal gmail accounts and into your UChicago gmail profile to access the folder. If you have questions or issues with accessing this folder, please contact the Assistant Director of Academic Affairs and Initiatives, Kara Kuligowski *(kuligowski@uchicago.edu)*.

Relevant Links

**Academic Handbook**

*Handbook for Faculty and Other Academic Appointees*

**Interfolio Access**

*Academic Recruitment and Careers System (ARCS)*

**Statutes and Reports**

*Shils Report*
(Statutes 11, 14, and 16) *University of Chicago's Articles of Incorporation, Bylaws, and Statutes*

**University Offices**

*Access UChicago Now*
*Office of Dual Careers and Faculty Relocation*
*Office of Equal Opportunity Programs*
*Office of the Provost*
University Policies

Collective Bargaining Agreements
Equal Employment Opportunity Policy
Leaves of Absence
Notice of Nondiscrimination
Policy on Discrimination, Harassment, and Sexual Misconduct
Statements on Diversity

Important Contacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE OF THE PROVOST</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jason Merchant</td>
<td>Vice Provost</td>
<td>(773) 702-8523</td>
<td><a href="mailto:merchant@uchicago.edu">merchant@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Venticinque</td>
<td>Assistant Provost</td>
<td>(773) 702-0024</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pventicinque@uchicago.edu">pventicinque@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janice Cobb</td>
<td>Assistant Director</td>
<td>(773) 702-5254</td>
<td><a href="mailto:jcmarkul@uchicago.edu">jcmarkul@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara Kuligowski</td>
<td>Assistant Director, Academic Affairs and Initiatives</td>
<td>(773) 702-4516</td>
<td><a href="mailto:kuligowski@uchicago.edu">kuligowski@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Trotter</td>
<td>Assistant Director</td>
<td>(773) 702-3557</td>
<td><a href="mailto:trotter1@uchicago.edu">trotter1@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OFFICE FOR EQUITY AND ACCESS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Velasquez</td>
<td>Executive Director, Office for Equal Opportunity &amp; Access</td>
<td>(773) 702-7994</td>
<td><a href="mailto:svelasquez@uchicago.edu">svelasquez@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marquetta Scott</td>
<td>Director of Access UChicago</td>
<td>(773) 834-3988</td>
<td><a href="mailto:mscott12@uchicago.edu">mscott12@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waldo Johnson</td>
<td>Vice Provost for Diversity &amp; Inclusion</td>
<td>(773) 834-9382</td>
<td><a href="mailto:wejohnso@uchicago.edu">wejohnso@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiana Pyer-Pereira</td>
<td>Associate Director, Diversity &amp; Inclusion and Faculty Recruitment</td>
<td>(773) 702-6015</td>
<td><a href="mailto:tianap@uchicago.edu">tianap@uchicago.edu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Search Documents and Forms

Search and Screening Narrative

Instructions and Reminders

Once the search has been successfully completed, please fill out the below form with information regarding the chosen candidate, search and screening processes, and additional information required for compliance purposes.

Search and Screening Narrative

Engaging a Search Firm

Although search firms are not regularly used in academic hiring, in certain cases it may be wise to engage one. The University of Chicago’s process for engaging with and utilizing search firms for academic and staff searches, including officer searches, ensures that the search is compliant with the University’s equal opportunity and affirmative action obligations. To achieve compliance with these obligations, all units must adhere to the memo below when using a search firm for any academic or staff search. Prior to engaging a search firm, approval from the Office of the Provost is required.

University Search Firm Process Memo (May 18, 2021)

PDF / Accessible Word File

Any questions or requests for the referenced attachments should be directed to the Director of the Office for Affirmative Action, Scott Velasquez (svelasquez@uchicago.edu).
Appendix C: Supplemental Documents and Resources for Academic Recruitment

Building a Strong Applicant Pool

When a position opens at the University, committees should be alert to every opportunity to build a strong and diverse applicant pool, leveraging both long-term outreach efforts as well as more immediate advertising and search tactics to build that pool.

Long-Term Outreach

- Encourage long-term outreach by all faculty in the department, even when a search is not currently active.
  - Identifying and keeping in touch with graduate students and postdocs who might consider an academic career and whose training has prepared them to be competitive for a position at UChicago will help committees fill their pools once a line becomes open.
  - In these efforts, you may be intentional about building and maintaining a diverse network of scholars over time to contact once a position opens.
- Integrate outreach into regular department events, such as symposia, talks, and lectures, whether or not an active search is underway.
  - Department representatives should use every opportunity to communicate the teaching and research opportunities available at UChicago.
  - Consider recruitment tools such as the Faculty Recruitment Innovation Fund to support these activities.
- Connect with pipeline and pathway programs that exist for your discipline or department, both at UChicago and within your field.
  - Consolidating relationships with these pipeline programs before you need to access them can help build deep connections to talented graduates from a variety of backgrounds.

Search and Recruitment

Once a position opens, thoughtful and targeted searching is essential to developing a diverse and talented applicant pool. As search committee members contact colleagues about open positions or plans for open positions, they should make specific inquiries about candidates from all backgrounds.

- When advertising your position, be alert to underutilized communication channels, including sharing opportunities to minority professional societies and their social media pages, as well as fellowship organizations and conferences connected to minority scholars.
Within your department, division, or school, consider maintaining a list of organizations to disseminate job descriptions, including professional or field societies or subgroups that reach diverse candidates.

- Review your department’s recruitment materials to make sure these materials – which include your website as well as any printed material or other information about the department – are accessible and represent the presence of diversity of discipline, approach, and identity in your faculty, research personnel, and student bodies.

- Candidates should be able to easily locate information about your department, division or school, as well as the history of UChicago and the context of the University within the city of Chicago, as well as information that might be favorable to their decision, such as the presence of dual career support resources or faculty professional development opportunities on campus.

All involved in the search must understand certain principles that will guide your efforts. Outreach may include extra and targeted efforts to search for women, racial and ethnic minorities, individuals with disabilities, and protected veterans. However, (1) outreach must not exclude any group, and (2) screening and winnowing down the pool must not prefer anyone based on protected class status.
## Guide to Acceptable Interview Questions

It is essential for all members of a search committee, as well as other employees interacting with applicants, to be aware of these guidelines and follow them in both spirit and letter. Avoid any direct or indirect questions that touch on material that may not be asked. This information about an applicant should never be discussed with regard to their candidacy for a position.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>What May Be Asked</th>
<th>What May NOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Whether the applicant has worked for the University under another name. Whether any other information, such as a nickname or initials, is needed to check the candidate’s work and educational record.</td>
<td>Maiden name of a married woman. Inquiries about the name that would seek to elicit information about the candidate’s ancestry or descent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Discussion should be kept to questions about the applicant’s career stage.</td>
<td>Inquiry into the date of birth or age of an applicant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>No questions.</td>
<td>Inquiry into an applicant’s maiden name or any question that pertains to only one sex.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Orientation</td>
<td>No questions.</td>
<td>Inquiry into applicant’s sexuality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>No questions, except in extremely rare and narrow circumstances where religious beliefs and practices could be a bona fide occupational qualification for a position, with the employer bearing a heavy burden to show that this is so.</td>
<td>Inquiry into an applicant’s religious denomination, affiliation, church, parish, pastor, or religious holidays observed. Avoid any questions regarding organizations and/or affiliations that would identify religion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthplace</td>
<td>No questions.</td>
<td>Birthplace of applicant. Birthplace of applicant’s parents, spouse, or other close relatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives</td>
<td>Names of applicant’s relatives already employed by the University.</td>
<td>Names, addresses, ages, number, or other information concerning applicant’s children or other relatives not employed by the University.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Origin</td>
<td>An employer may require an employee to produce documentation that evidences his or her identity and employment eligibility under federal immigration laws.</td>
<td>Inquiry into the applicant’s lineage, ancestry, national origin, descent, parentage, or nationality; nationality of parents or spouse; applicant’s native language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citizenship</td>
<td>Are you legally authorized to work in the United States?</td>
<td>Inquiries about citizenship or whether the applicant intends to become a U.S. citizen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language</td>
<td>Inquiry into their approach for learning a new language or the steps they have taken to gain fluency in a language.</td>
<td>Inquiries regarding the ability to read, write, or speak a foreign language that seem designed to elicit national original or citizen/foreign status, or information about an individual’s family of origin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Inquiry into the academic, vocational, or professional education of an applicant for employment.</td>
<td>Questions about education designed to determine how old the applicant is.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>Inquiry into work experience. Inquiry into countries the applicant has visited. Inquiry into references.</td>
<td>Inquiry into organizations of which the applicant for employment is a member, the nature, name, or character of which would likely disclose the applicant’s protected class status.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional Considerations and Resources for Recruitment

Other Resources for Recruitment

Long Term Planning

Faculty Recruitment Innovation Fund
This program provides financial support to units that appoint tenure-track and School of Medicine-track faculty to build skills, practices, and processes aimed at attracting candidates from groups historically underrepresented in the academy.

_The Innovation Fund is specifically geared towards programs or units looking to expand their outreach to attract exceptional diverse candidates in the long term._

Pre-Search

Provost’s Postdoctoral Fellows Program: The Postdoctoral Fellows Program is a pre-search tool used to recruit high caliber junior scholars. For more information on the program, please reach out to the Associate Director of Diversity & Inclusion and Faculty Recruitment, Tiana Pyer-Pereira (tianap@uchicago.edu).

Hiring Incentives

Neubauer Family Assistant Professors Program: The Neubauer Family Assistant Professors Program supports up to 20 tenure-track appointments for up to 20 early-career scholars who demonstrate exceptional promise.

Office of Dual Careers and Faculty Relocation: The Office of Dual Careers and Faculty Relocation provides resources and support for new and prospective faculty and their partners to help with successful relocation to the Chicago area.

_The Neubauer Program and the Office of Dual Careers and Faculty Relocation are options for when you have already found a truly exceptional candidate, but need to provide additional incentive to compete with offers from peer institutions._

Ongoing Support for Recruited Faculty and Other Academic Employees

Faculty Advancement Network
The Faculty Advancement Network (FAN) is a new consortium of national research universities collaborating to advance diversity and inclusion in the American professoriate. They work across “silos” to identify collaborations of consequence that reimagine the norms, structures, policies, and programs that shape university cultures and the academic workforce.

Work/Life Resources
The University values your time and your contributions and is dedicated to providing you the support and resources to thrive in your career. Access resources and information in a range of areas, including maintaining the personal well-being of yourself and your loved ones. From information about living in our surrounding community, including childcare and eldercare resources, to time off to address life events, you have options that can help you navigate changes at work or home.
Appendix D: Special Situation Appointments and Postdoctoral Appointments

In general, the kind of appointment (Title) flows from the rationale for the appointment. No one may come on a tourist visa. No one may work for the University without compensation. Faculty members are responsible for knowing who is in research facilities under their control, and for assuring that ALL of those individuals have signed an appropriate intellectual property agreement with the University of Chicago. Failure to have such agreements in place compromises our ability to comply with the terms and conditions of sponsored projects and to meet federal and sponsor requirements related to the handling of inventions. In addition, the use of University facilities for commercial or other non-University proprietary purposes could jeopardize the University's nonprofit status and our commitment to openness in research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Teaching / Other Effort</th>
<th>Academic Eligibility</th>
<th>UC Comp</th>
<th>Other Comp</th>
<th>Benefits Eligibility</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Hiring Process</th>
<th>Academic Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visiting w/ Academic Rank</td>
<td>Teaching or research in collaboration with faculty.</td>
<td>Normally, yes.</td>
<td>Normally in professoriate, with a position that ends after the end of the visit here.</td>
<td>Required, unless paid by home institution.</td>
<td>Benefits Ineligible</td>
<td>1 year max, normally.</td>
<td>Offer letter stating “contingent on the approval of the provost”.</td>
<td>Faculty vote if teaching, provost approves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdoctoral Fellow</td>
<td>Advanced research training.</td>
<td>Separate appointment required, subject to the PDR Policy.</td>
<td>(1) not in professoriate and (2) in postdoc phase.</td>
<td>Stipend.</td>
<td>As stipulated by source of funds.</td>
<td>UCPDR plan</td>
<td>1 year minimum, normally.</td>
<td>Not a hire. Letter of agreement.</td>
<td>Approval at divisional level, form to provost for final approval.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdoctoral Fellow-Direct Pay</td>
<td>Advanced research training.</td>
<td>Separate appointment required, subject to the PDR Policy.</td>
<td>(1) not in professoriate and (2) in postdoc phase.</td>
<td>Funds do not go through UC.</td>
<td>Documented evidence of support.</td>
<td>UCPDR plan</td>
<td>May depend on funding source.</td>
<td>Not a hire. Letter of agreement.</td>
<td>Reviewed in provost's Office.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdoctoral Scholar</td>
<td>Advanced research training.</td>
<td>Separate appointment required, subject to the PDR Policy.</td>
<td>(1) not in professoriate and (2) in postdoc phase.</td>
<td>Salary.</td>
<td>Refer to Postdoctoral Researcher Policy Manual.</td>
<td>UCPDR plan</td>
<td>1 year minimum, normally.</td>
<td>Managed through HR.</td>
<td>Approval at divisional level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Postdoctoral Researcher</td>
<td>Enables a postdoc appointed at another institution to work here.</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>For individuals in academic positions like our Postdoctoral Researcher positions.</td>
<td>Not allowed.</td>
<td>All money comes from the other institution.</td>
<td>Must be provided by home institution.</td>
<td>Up to six months.</td>
<td>Not a hire. Letter of agreement.</td>
<td>Form to provost at least two weeks prior to the beginning of the visit.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Special Situation Appointments and Postdoctoral Appointments (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Teaching / Other Effort</th>
<th>Academic Eligibility</th>
<th>UC Comp</th>
<th>Other Comp</th>
<th>Benefits Eligibility</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Hiring Process</th>
<th>Academic Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lecturer / Senior Lecturer</td>
<td>To deliver courses, primarily.</td>
<td>Teaching required.</td>
<td>Competency as determined by the faculty.</td>
<td>Salary.</td>
<td>Sometimes compensated by outside entities.</td>
<td>Depends on level of effort and length of term.</td>
<td>1 quarter to 5 years.</td>
<td>Posting. Full search for Full-Time. Benefits eligible.</td>
<td>Faculty concurrence, provost approves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Appointees</td>
<td>Research in a group under the direction of a member of the Faculty.</td>
<td>Subject to separate teaching appointment, normally Lecturer, and review by URA.</td>
<td>PhD or appropriate terminal degree.</td>
<td>Salary.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Regular.</td>
<td>Depends on rank.</td>
<td>National Search.</td>
<td>Vote, provost approves.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Postdoc position at the rank of] Instructor</td>
<td>A limited-term appointment that normally combines teaching and research.</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>Normally, PhD in hand.</td>
<td>Salary.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Regular.</td>
<td>3 years, normally, limited to 4 by the Statutes.</td>
<td>National Search.</td>
<td>Faculty vote or consultation, provost approves.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Required medical insurance may be purchased at full cost through the UCPDR plan.

†Senior Fellow and Distinguished Senior Fellow titles are variants of Associate, for individuals with exceptional stature. The Provost's Office advises that you consult with us in advance.

**Notes:**

- Individuals who have not completed the Ph.D. or other terminal degree may not come to the University in any of the capacities referenced in this policy.
- Associate status normally (1) is available only to individuals who live in the Chicago region, and (2) recognizes recurring participation in the University's public programs.
- The Visiting Scholar title should not be requested for individuals who are still in the postdoctoral phase, or need supervision or mentoring, or will be evaluated, or wish to come to the University for a training experience in furtherance of beginning a career as an independent researcher in the academy.
- A Visiting Scholar or Associate may not, in that capacity, perform services for the University, whether in the laboratory or classroom, whether as a volunteer or paid. Normal scholarly activities, such as guest lecturing and presentations, are permitted. May not enter “patient space” in UCMC (University of Chicago Medical Center).
- A Visiting Scholar is expected to be present at the University throughout the term of the appointment. The faculty member sponsoring the visit should be in residence during the term of the visit. Individuals in the US on a tourist visa are not eligible for any of these titles.

For information on Guest Scientist and Data Collaborator positions, see University Research Administration.
Appendix E: Guidelines on Faculty Appointment, Reappointment, and Promotion Cases Revision History

8 August 2016.
Initial version.

13 October 2016.
Minor corrections and clarifications.

9 November 2016.
Correct typographical errors.

The nontechnical research précis should be part of the Department and/or Dean’s letter. The earlier version had this as material to be obtained from the candidate.

18 April 2018.
- Clarified that junior appointments explicitly understood to be terminal are permitted, in which case, the likelihood of ultimately achieving tenure here is not an appointment criterion.
- Clarified that external letters are not required for promotion from Instructor to Assistant Professor.
- Removed the requirement that detailed budget information be supplied with the case.
- Content re-ordered to reflect typical chronological steps taken to prepare a case.
- Guidance related to Provost’s Postdoctoral Scholars added.
- Separate sections added for materials needed that are applicable to specific situations.
- Added section to address hiring applicants who did their training at the University of Chicago.
- Added information concerning the role of entrepreneurial activity in appointment decisions.

27 April 2018.
Clarified that cases for reappointment without external letters are not deficient.
2 May 2018.
    Clarified that faculty voting method should also be reported.

4 February 2019.
    Typos corrected

22 February 2019.
    Added “laboratory or other space commitments” to section 3.

23 October 2019.
    Updated reference in section 3.1 to refer to “Academic Searching and Screening Policy.”

9 November 2020.
    Updated Section 1 to include promotion to Professor.

24 February 2021.
    Updated Section 3 to make clear that candidates’ materials are to be included in all cases.

2 March 2021.
    Formatted for accessibility.

8 April 2021.
    Clarified that external letters must be solicited without prejudgment of the assessment.

9 November 2021.
    Various clarifications added: Added brief intro to Sec. 2 to clarify that these materials are for all appointment types. Clarified that internal letters are not required. Clarified that a memo from the Master is not required, but if one is written, it must be included.

28 June 2022.
    Clarified that unenumerated items are not to be solicited from candidates.

28 July 2022.
    Expanded description of teaching statement.

3 March 2023.
    Complete revision of Guide and supporting documentation.

30 May 2023.
Materials from OAA Guidelines and other resources added into guide for ease of access.

1 June 2023.

Clarified that initial appointment external letters are for junior initial appointments (not senior). Expanded on rationale for not soliciting external letters for senior appointments (including tenure) from candidates’ dissertation advisors or committee members. Require justification for non-secret votes if taken. Clarified best practices for Faculty votes on outside hires.